

COUNCIL MEETING

**Minutes of the Corporation of the City of Unley Council Meeting
Held on Tuesday 29 March 2016 – 7.01pm
Council Chambers,
181 Unley Road Unley**

PRESENT

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Mayor Lachlan Clyne, Presiding Member | | |
| Councillors | A Lapidge | P Hughes |
| | R Sangster | M Rabbitt (Deputy Mayor) |
| | M Hewitson | |
| | B Schnell | L Smolucha |
| | J Boisvert | D Palmer |
| | J Koumi | M Hudson |

OFFICERS PRESENT

Chief Executive Officer, Mr P Tsokas
General Manager Assets & Environment, Mr J Devine
General Manager Community, Ms M Berghuis
General Manager Economic Development & Planning, Mr D Litchfield
Group Manager Governance, Ms R Wilson
Executive Assistant to the CEO & Mayor, Ms C Gowland
Manager Property Assets, Mr A Johns
Sport & Recreation Planner, Mr J Wilkinson

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Presiding Member opened the meeting with the Kurna Acknowledgement.

PRAYER AND SERVICE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Members stood in silence in memory of those who had made the Supreme sacrifice in the service of their country, at sea, on land and in the air.

WELCOME

The Presiding Member welcomed Members of Council, Senior Staff, members of the gallery and the media to the 29 March 2016, meeting of the Unley City Council.

APOLOGIES

Councillor Rufus Salaman

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Nil

ITEM 404

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

MOVED Councillor Koumi

SECONDED Councillor Palmer

That the Minutes of the Council meeting held on Monday 22 February 2016, as printed and circulated, be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

DEFERRED / ADJOURNED ITEMS

Nil

ITEM 405

PETITION RE PARKING FOR GREENHILL ROAD WORKERS

MOVED Councillor Hewitson

SECONDED Councillor Koumi

That:

1. The petition be received.
2. The principal petitioner be notified that the petition has been received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

PRESENTATIONS

Nil

CHANGE TO ORDER OF AGENDA

The Presiding Member advised the Council that he would like to bring forward Item 410, Future Grandstand Upgrades at Unley Oval – Results of Community Engagement and Future Directions, to be discussed after the deputation by Mr David Reynolds.

This was agreed with a two thirds majority.

ITEM 406 **DEPUTATIONS**

1. Deputation by Ms Lynette McFarlane, re eastern boundary of Council property at 75 King William Road Unley
2. Deputation by Mr David Reynolds, Sturt Football Club, re Future Grandstand Upgrades at Unley Oval – Results of Community Engagement and Future Directions

Councillor Sangster entered the Chambers at 7.09pm during the above Item.

****ITEM 410** **FUTURE GRANDSTAND UPGRADES AT UNLEY OVAL – RESULTS OF** **COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Smolucha

1. The report be received.
2. The results of the community engagement be noted.
3. In principle endorsement is given for the upgrade of the grandstands.
4. Council work with the Sturt Football Club to explore the feasibility of their preferred option regarding upgrade of the grandstands. This includes finalisation of concept plans and cost estimates.
5. A further progress report be presented to Council in July 2016.

6. Council commence discussions with the Federal and State Governments and other external bodies, seeking grant funding opportunities for both concepts (that is Option 2A and Sturt Football Club's preferred option).
7. The community and relevant stakeholders be advised of Council's decision via email and letters, and that notices be placed on Council's website and at Unley Oval.

FORMAL MOTION

MOVED Councillor Boisvert
SECONDED Councillor Hudson

That the item be deferred to the next meeting of Council.

LOST

Councillor Boisvert MOVED as AMENDMENT

1. The report be received.
2. The results of the community engagement be noted.
3. In principle endorsement is given for the upgrade of the grandstands.
4. Council work with the Sturt Football Club to explore the feasibility of their preferred option regarding upgrade of the grandstands. This includes finalisation of concept plans and cost estimates.
5. A further progress report be presented to Council in July 2016.
6. Council commence discussions with the Federal and State Governments and other external bodies, seeking grant funding opportunities for both concepts (that is Option 2A and Sturt Football Club's preferred option).
7. The community and relevant stakeholders be advised of Council's decision via email and letters, and that notices be placed on Council's website and at Unley Oval.

The Presiding Member asked if the mover of the original motion would be prepared to accept the addition of 'other external bodies' to his motion. The Mover and Secunder agreed and the amendment of Councillor Boisvert was not required.

The MOTION was then put and **CARRIED**

ITEM 407

AUDIT AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MINUTES

MOVED Councillor Rabbitt

SECONDED Councillor Sangster

That:

1. The minutes of the Audit and Governance Committee meeting held on Tuesday 1 March 2016, be received.

2. The recommendations listed under:

Motion Without Notice

Advice to Preferred Supplier - Efficiency and Effectiveness Review

Item 23

Correspondence

Motion without Notice

Reinstatement of Staff Member - Centennial Park Audit and Risk Committee

Item 24

Internal Audit Update re Implementation of Internal Audit Recommendations

Item 25

Internal Audit – Rebate of Rates**

Item 26

Future Asset Revaluation Schedule

Item 27

2015-16 External Audit Plan and Certification of the Annual Financial Statements

inclusive, be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor Smolucha left the meeting at 8.13pm returning at 8.14pm during discussion on the above Item.

Councillor Hudson left the meeting at 8.14pm returning at 8.16pm during discussion on the above Item.

ITEM 408

UNLEY BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MINUTES

MOVED Councillor Koumi
SECONDED Councillor Smolucha

That:

1. The minutes of the Unley Business and Economic Development Committee meeting held on Wednesday, 2 March 2016, be received.
2. The recommendations listed under:

Item 21

Economic Development Strategy Update

Item 22

Main Street Association Marketing Funding Requests 2016-17

Inclusive, be adopted.

CARRIED

ITEM 409

KING WILLIAM ROAD AND ARTHUR STREET INTERSECTION REVIEW

Mr Paul Froggatt, GTA Consultant, was available to answer questions of the Council.

MOVED Councillor Hewitson
SECONDED Councillor Sangster

That:

1. The report be received.
2. Council note that a report will be brought back to the August 2016 meeting outlining a draft Permits for Business Purposes Policy for endorsement.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEM 410

FUTURE GRANDSTAND UPGRADES AT UNLEY OVAL – RESULTS OF COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

See page 3 of these Minutes.

ITEM 411

INFORMAL GATHERINGS POLICY – ADOPTION

See page 13 of these Minutes.

ITEMS MOVED EN BLOC (ITEMS 412, 414 – 423)

MOVED Councillor Palmer
SECONDED Councillor Boisvert

****ITEM 412**

ALGA NATIONAL GENERAL ASSEMBLY 2016 – CALL FOR MOTIONS

That:

1. The report be received.

ITEM 414

QUARTERLY REPORT – CENTENNIAL PARK CEMETERY AUTHORITY

That:

1. The report be received.

ITEM 415

EASTERN REGION ALLIANCE (ERA) 2014/15 ANNUAL REPORT

That:

1. The report be received.

ITEM 416

COUNCIL ACTION RECORDS

That:

1. The report be received.

ITEM 417

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR PALMER RE BUILDING INSPECTIONS

At the Council meeting on 22 February, 2016, Councillor Palmer asked Questions Without Notice regarding building inspections. The answers are now provided:

Questions

I refer to the Quarterly report and in particular the reference to numbers of building inspections involving roof framing. As the report indicates we are required to inspect at least 66% of all building rules consents where a building work contractor is responsible for the relevant work, 90% where a licensed contractor is NOT responsible.

I notice that we have in the past 3 quarters inspected at least 96% of those where a licensed builder is responsible and 100% where this is not the case.

The question is: What level of compliance are we seeing from the licensed builders and how much have we found a need to re-inspect. Is there a level on non-compliance indicating to us that it is desirable to continue targeting 96% of licensed builders, rather than just meet our statutory obligations.

Answer

Councils statutory obligation where building work involves the construction of roof framing within the area of the Council is to undertake a number of inspections **equal to 66%** of the building rules consents issued over the course of the year for building work involving the construction of any roof framing where a licensed building work contractor is responsible for the relevant building work. In addition Council must also inspect **90%** of the building rules consents where a licensed building work contractor is not responsible for the relevant building work.

Administration has reviewed the building inspections undertaken for the October to December quarter 2015 to provide a 'snapshot' of the level of compliance for roof framing inspections involving licensed builders. During this quarter 32 framing inspections were undertaken involving licensed builders with 19 recorded as a pass and 13 recorded as a fail. Anecdotal evidence from Councils Building Compliance Officer indicated that the fails ranged from minor faults through to significant faults against the Building Code/Australian Standard.

Administration consider that it is desirable to continue to maintain a high level of inspections for licensed builders where possible for the following reasons:

- Council is unable to pre-empt the development with the critical fault (i.e. maybe the one notification Council choose not to inspect will be the one that fails)
- It allows Council to maintain a rapport with licenced building work contractors in the area and encourage a high level of building notifications pursuant to Regulation 74 (Notifications)of the

Development Regulations 2008. i.e if a licensed builder notifies Council and there is no inspection undertaken, then a builder may be less likely to notify next time.

- The statistics are recorded over a calendar year so a high level of inspections provides some scope for a reduction in the level of inspection if there are unexpected staff absences (i.e sickness/extended leave) whilst still meeting Councils statutory obligations for the year.
- The difference between maintaining a level of 66% as opposed to 90% is not significant in absolute terms of inspection numbers i.e the difference amounts to 8 roof framing inspections for the December quarter involving licensed builders.

ITEM 418

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR SCHNELL RE FRUIT FLY OUTBREAK

The following Questions on Notice have been received from Councillor Schnell and the answers are provided:

Preamble

Currently there is a fruit fly outbreak in Clarence Park with a quarantine extended to Black Forest, Everard Park and Forestville. This has recently crossed Anzac Highway and extended to Kurralta Park; a concern that the quarantine area has widened.

Following an email notification from Primary Industries and Regions SA (PIRSA) I followed up and asked PIRSA how fruit placed in the green waste bins (as I was advised) would be treated. I received the following advice.

“In relation to your green waste query our reference entomologist from the South Australian Research and Development Institute (SARDI), conducted trials and risk assessments which resulted in the following recommendations:

That Green organics from fruit fly quarantine zones can be processed at composting facilities rather than being redirected to landfill within the following guidelines:

The green organics from quarantine zones is kept separate from material collected from non-quarantine zones or if mixed all materials in the load is considered to have come from the quarantine area.

Green organics collected from quarantine zones must be processed and placed within the compost pile within 24 hours of collection.

Deliveries of green organics to compost processing facilities must not be placed at the end of rows.

Facility staff will monitor deliveries from quarantine zones and ensure that any fruit that rolls to the edge of the pile must be collected and placed to ensure burial at greater than 50 cm depth in the pile.

All green organics from quarantine zones must be covered with at least 50 cm of green organic or previously composted material for a minimum of 14 days.

The green organics are composted using high temperature forced air methods.

Temperature in the material from the quarantine zone or the material directly above is monitored and exceeds 50°C for at least 24 hours. Data from these temperature loggers are to be forwarded to SARDI Fruit Fly Entomologist for assessment."

Given my roots of growing up in the Riverland and concern for need to adhere to protocols for the affected areas, I seek assurance that Council and its waste management contractor Solo is adhering to the correct procedures. Further, given that the quarantine will last for 4-6-8 weeks I seek assurance that green waste from the affected areas will be isolated and treated appropriately.

Questions

1. *Given (from memory) that there has not been a fruit fly outbreak in Unley in recent years was Council and the green waste contractor Solo adequately prepared to deal appropriately with collections from the affected areas?*

Answer

Yes. When SOLO were notified, a process was immediately put in place ready for Organic Week collection in the City of Unley. SOLO have previously been involved with fruit fly contamination in other Council areas that they service, eg Charles Sturt and City of Holdfast Bay, and know of the procedure to follow.

2. *Is Solo isolating the waste from the affected areas and treating it appropriately?*

Answer

Yes. SOLO have provided a location of isolation for the contaminated material, that is then transported by Peat's Soil, separately from the non-contaminated material, to its Brinkley site for further isolated processing.

3. *Given that the quarantine will last for many weeks and I envisage that this will result in an extra cost to Solo, will this cost be borne by Solo as per the existing contract?*

Answer

Yes, SOLO, have in the past and will, with the current contract with the City of Unley, continue to collect organics from kerbside and if there is any further costs associated with the separation of contaminated material, will absorb these costs.

ITEM 419

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR SCHNELL RE SALE OF FRUIT ON THE STREETS

The following Questions on Notice have been received from Councillor Schnell and the answers are provided:

Preamble

I have been contacted by a local fruit trader at Goodwood.

There is concern about walkabout vendors (usually young backpackers) selling fresh strawberries on the streets and inside business premises eg. in shops and offices.

Recently I was in a waiting room at a local business and in came a young backpacker with a tray of strawberries for sale. She claimed that the strawberries were freshly picked in the Adelaide Hills (that morning) and part proceeds of the sale would go to the Little Heroes charity. On another occasion the specified charity was MS.

The local trader is concerned about the legality of selling fruit on the street and inside offices.

I understand that such vendors need a 222 Permit and potentially a FBN notification.

Questions

1. *Is it legal to sell fruit on the streets and in business premises?*

Answer

It is legal to sell fruit on the streets and business premises, subject to a business meeting requirements of relevant legislative and compliance requirements.

As far as Council is concerned, Section 222 of the Local Government Act provides for the 'Permits for business purposes'. As per the Council's current policy, permits are issued to businesses who apply for it. Administration has not received application from the aforementioned organisation to conduct business activity on Council roads.

Administration understands that Council does not have powers over 'door to door' sales person type of business activity. Legal advice on the matter could be sought, if Council so desired.

2. *Is a permit and any other compliance required?*

Answer

A Food Business notification is required when a business applies for a 222 permit. All food businesses have the requirement to notify under the Food Act. The business would be notified as a permanent business in the council area that they reside in. Door to door sales are difficult to obtain a notification from as we are often not aware they are conducting business in our area, however it is their requirement to notify Council if they intend on temporarily selling

food. Please note that the sale of whole fruit and vegetables is low risk and would not require inspection by Environmental Health.

3. *Has there been any contact made with the vendor company Fruitalicious regarding the legality of the sale of fruit on the streets?*

Answer

A General Inspector has spoken to a person that he observed going door to door on King William Road and made them aware of the requirements. This person advised that they were working for a company called Fruitalicious. A letter has already been sent to Fruitalicious cautioning them that if they are selling from Council (public) land without a permit that they will be expiated.

4. *Is Council in a position to comment on the validity of claims by the vendors that part proceeds will go to a specified Charity?*

Answer

No, we have no information on this and it's not something Council has any jurisdiction over.

ITEM 420
CORRESPONDENCE

The correspondence from

- Hon Jack Snelling MP re Public Health Week
- Hon Kelly Vincent MLC re Disability Grant
- Ms Judith Rees, re Goodwood Road
- Hon Zoe Bettison MP re Safer Haven Enterprise Visa (SHEV)
- RSLCare SA re Auburn Avenue footpath upgrade
- Hon Zoe Bettison MP re Youth Network Grants
- The City of Whyalla re future of the Australian Steel Industry
- Mr La Fontaine re Sturt Football Club
- Veterans SA re South Australia's Commemorative Calendar 2016

be noted.

ITEM 421
MAYOR'S REPORT

That:

1. The report be received.

ITEM 422
DEPUTY MAYOR'S REPORT

That:

1. The report be received.

ITEM 423
REPORTS OF MEMBERS

Council to note the attached reports from Members

1. Councillor Peter Hughes
2. Councillor Bob Schnell
3. Councillor Don Palmer

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

****ITEM 411**
INFORMAL GATHERINGS POLICY – ADOPTION

Councillor Schnell, asked the Presiding Member if meeting procedures could be suspended for a period of 25 minutes. The Presiding Member put the request to the Council.

The request was denied.

MOVED Councillor Lapidge
SECONDED Councillor Boisvert

That:

1. The report be received.
2. Council adopt the "Informal Gatherings Policy" as amended, as attached at Attachment 1 to Item 411/16.

Councillor Hewitson MOVED an AMENDMENT

That the report be received.

The Presiding Member advised that he would not accept the amendment.

MOTION CARRIED

A Division was called and the previous decision set aside.

DIVISION

Those voting in the affirmative

Councillors Schnell, Palmer, Boisvert, Hughes, Lapidge and Rabbitt.

Those voting in the negative:

Councillors Smolucha, Hewitson, Hudson, Koumi and Sangster.

The MOTION was declared **CARRIED**

Councillor Koumi left the meeting at 9.32pm returning at 9.34pm during discussion on the above Item.

****ITEM 412**

ALGA NATIONAL GENERAL ASSEMBLY 2016 – CALL FOR MOTIONS

See page 7 of these Minutes

ITEM 413

BROWN HILL KESWICK CREEK CATCHMENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – SUBMISSION TO THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY

MOVED Councillor Rabbitt
SECONDED Councillor Boisvert

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEMS 414 - 423

See pages 7 – 13 of this Agenda.

ITEM 430

QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

Councillor Schnell – asked a question regarding the separate rates for Main Streets. This was answered by the General Manager Economic Development 7 Planning

Councillor Sangster – asked a question regarding the Eastern Region Alliance (ERA). This was answered by the Mayor and CEO.

Councillor Palmer – asked the following questions without notice. Answers will be provided in next month's Council Agenda.

1. Disability Access to trains

Background

My wife received a communication from DPTI earlier this year indicating she must meet certain obligations in order for Public transport to accommodate her scooter. Amongst the criteria is that scooters must be within certain dimensions including that it must be stable traversing a 1 in 8 ramp.

Question

If DPTI can encourage users to comply with certain criteria including the 1 in 8 ramp stability criteria to qualify for use of public transport do Administration have any idea if and when DPTI might be planning to upgrade the rail stations at Clarence Park which has a gradient half that stipulated at 1 in 4 and Emerson and Goodwood Stations who have gradients of 1 in 5.

If Admin are not aware can they seek information from DPTI as to whether they have plans or not for their ramps to comply with the 1 in 8 criteria.

2. Administration of Customer Request System for reporting footpath hazards etc

I have been using the computerised CRS since it has been adopted and have a number of concerns regarding the lack of communication on the progress of individual requests.

Once a request has been made I find I am unable to keep track of its progress because the web forms do not talk to someone who has not reference to the ID referencing used.

The referencing used does not indicate which request is which unless you have access to the ID table.

I have also noted that many requests I know not to be complete are marked as complete.

Questions

Is the CRS intended for use by the public?

Assuming it is, is the public using it?

Assuming it is, what promotion are we providing to encourage it's use?

How are the public or elected members to keep track of the progress of their requests?

What does complete mean in the table? Is it an indication the request is in the system or does it mean the request has been attended to and work to rectify is complete?

If it is not possible to indicate on the web reporting form which request is which other than by an ID number that means nothing to the person reporting the concern is it possible to send a communication by text or email to the person that the work has been done?

If admin do not believe the request is legitimate do they notify the person who reported the concern or do they simply ignore it?

3. What constitutes a trip hazard in a public footpath

Background

I have noticed that some of the requests for attendance to repairs of what I determine is a footpath trip hazard have not been corrected notwithstanding the request is marked as complete on the web report form. I suspect this means that the depot has a different interpretation of a trip hazard than myself.

It may well be that I may be too rigid in my interpretation. Having said that part of my day job is to interpret trip hazards when inspecting houses and I would like to think I am responsible when I do.

Trip hazards recently reported in one street not attended to caused me sufficient concern to identify them to our staff responsible for administering our aged policies. My understanding is they have agreed with my interpretation of what constitutes a trip hazard warranting repair.

Questions

What if any criteria do the depot use to determine if a trip hazard is worthy of repair or not?

If they do can we be informed what it is such that we can be on the same page when reporting them?

Does the depot confer with those responsible for administering our aged and disability policies in determining what is a trip hazard?

Do those members of the depot who make the assessment have an empathy for what it is like using a public footpath if aged or disabled, if using a wheelchair or scooter, if using a walking frame that invariably is not lifted by the user between steps rather sliding it in lieu?

If the depot does not believe the request warrants rectification, do they notify the person who reported the concern or do they simply ignore it?

Councillor Boisvert left the meeting at 9.39pm returning at 9.41pm during discussion on the above item.

Councillor Palmer left the meeting at 9.40pm returning at 9.43pm during discussion on the above item.

ITEM 424

CONFIDENTIALITY MOTION FOR ITEM 425 – ENCROACHMENT COUNCIL PROPERTY

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Hewitson

That:

1. Pursuant to Section 90(2) and (3)(b) of the Local Government Act 1999 the Council orders the public be excluded, with the exception of the following:

Mr P Tsokas, Chief Executive Officer
Mr D Litchfield, General Manager Economic Development & Planning
Mr J Devine, General Manager Assets and Environment
Ms M Berghuis, General Manager Community
Ms R Wilson, Group Manager Governance
Ms C Gowland, Executive Assistant to CEO and Mayor
Mr A Johns, Manager Property Assets

on the basis that it will receive and consider the report on encroachment on Council land, and that the Council is satisfied that the meeting should be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in relation to this matter because:

(b) information the disclosure of which

- (i) could reasonably be expected to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the council is conducting, or proposing to conduct, business, or to prejudice the commercial position of the council; and
- (ii) would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest.

It would be in the best interest of Council to consider this matter in confidence.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The doors to the Council Chambers were closed at 9.46pm.

ITEM 426

**CONFIDENTIALITY MOTION TO REMAIN IN CONFIDENCE – ITEM 425 –
ENCROACHMENT COUNCIL PROPERTY**

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Smolucha

That:

1. The report be received.
2. Pursuant to Section 91(7) and (3)(b) of the Local Government Act:

2.1 The

- ☒ Minutes
- ☒ Report
- ☒ Attachments

remain confidential on the basis that the information contained in this report could confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the council is conducting, or proposing to conduct, business, and

- 2.2 the minutes, report and attachments will be kept confidential until the item is revoked by the Chief Executive Officer.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The doors to the Council Chambers were opened at 10.13pm.

ITEM 427

CONFIDENTIALITY MOTION FOR ITEM 428 – REVOCATION OF COMMUNITY LAND STATUS

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Boisvert

That:

1. Pursuant to Section 90(2) and (3)(b) of the Local Government Act 1999 the Council orders the public be excluded, with the exception of the following:

Mr P Tsokas, Chief Executive Officer
Mr D Litchfield, General Manager Economic Development & Planning
Mr J Devine, General Manager Assets and Environment
Ms M Berghuis, General Manager Community
Ms R Wilson, Group Manager Governance
Ms C Gowland, Executive Assistant to CEO and Mayor

on the basis that it will receive and consider the report on revocation of community land status, and that the Council is satisfied that the meeting should be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in relation to this matter because:

(b) information the disclosure of which

- (i) could reasonably be expected to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the council is conducting, or proposing to conduct, business, or to prejudice the commercial position of the council; and
- (ii) would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest.

It would be in the best interest of Council to consider this matter in confidence.

CARRIED

The doors to the Council Chambers were closed at 10.14pm.

ITEM 429

**CONFIDENTIALITY MOTION TO REMAIN IN CONFIDENCE - ITEM 428 –
REVOCATION OF COMMUNITY LAND STATUS**

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Lapidge

That:

1. The report be received.
2. Pursuant to Section 91(7) and (3)(b) of the Local Government Act:

2.1 The

☒ Minutes

☒ Report

remain confidential on the basis that the information contained in this report could confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the council is conducting, or proposing to conduct, business, and

- 2.2 the minutes, report and attachments will be kept confidential until the item is revoked by the Chief Executive Officer.

CARRIED

The doors to the Council Chambers were opened at 10.17pm.

ITEM 430

QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

See page 15 of these Minutes.

NEXT MEETING

Tuesday 26 April 2016 – 7.00pm.

CLOSURE

The Presiding Member closed the meeting at 10.17pm.

.....
PRESIDING MEMBER