

Minutes of the City of Unley Council Meeting Monday, 22 July 2019, 7.00pm Council Chambers 181 Unley Road Unley

PRESENT

Presiding Member Mayor M. Hewitson

Deputy Mayor P. Hughes

Councillors K. Anastassiadis J. Boisvert

M. Broniecki S. Dewing
J. Dodd M. Hudson
D. Palmer M. Rabbitt
J. Russo N. Sheehan

E. Wright

OFFICERS PRESENT

Chief Executive Officer, Mr P. Tsokas
General Manager City Services, Ms M. Berghuis
General Manager City Development, Mr C. Malak
General Manager Business Support & Improvement, Ms N. Tinning
Executive Manager Office of the CEO, Ms T. Norman
Chief Financial Officer, Ms T. Romano
Executive Assistant Office of the CEO, Ms L. Jones

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Presiding Member opened the meeting with the Kaurna Acknowledgement.

PRAYER AND SERVICE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Members stood in silence in memory of those who had made the Supreme Sacrifice in the service of their country, at sea, on land and in the air.

WELCOME

The Presiding Member welcomed Members of the Council, Senior Staff, Media and members of the gallery to the 22 July 2019, meeting of the Unley Council.

1. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

1.1 APOLOGIES

Nil

1.2 LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil

1.3 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Nil

1.4 MINUTES

ITEM 1.4.1 MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING HELD MONDAY, 24 JUNE 2019

MOVED Councillor P. Hughes SECONDED Councillor K. Anastassiadis

That:

1. The minutes of the Ordinary Council held on Monday, 24 June 2019 be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0052/19

ITEM 1.4.2 MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING HELD MONDAY, 8 JULY 2019

MOVED Councillor J. Dodd SECONDED Councillor M. Broniecki

That:

1. The minutes of the Special Council held on Monday, 8 July 2019 be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0053/19

1.5 DEFERRED / ADJORNED ITEMS

Nil

2. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS

SUSPENSION OF MEETING PROCEEDINGS

The Presiding Member, with approval of two-thirds of the members present, suspended meeting procedures pursuant to Regulation 20(1) of the *Local Government (Procedures at Meetings) Regulations 2013 at* 7.03pm for up to 10 minutes to facilitate an informal discussion of the matter.

At 7.09pm the Presiding Member determined that the suspension of meeting procedures would end and formal meeting procedures would recommence.

ITEM 2.1 STREET TREE PRUNING, REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT ON FOUNDRY STREET, GOODWOOD

MOVED Councillor M. Rabbitt SECONDED Councillor N. Sheehan

That:

- 1. The petition be received.
- 2. The principal petitioner be notified of Council's intention to investigate, from a strategic assets perspective, to gauge opportunity to align possible vegetation enhancements with civil infrastructure requirements, and prioritise these needs against others within the City, with findings to be reported back to Council.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0054/19

3. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

Nil

4. REPORTS OF OFFICERS

ITEM 4.1 PARKING SIGNS ON TORRENS AVENUE, FULLARTON

MOVED Councillor J. Dodd SECONDED Councillor P. Hughes

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. Subject to no objections being raised in response to the consultation process currently being undertaken by the Administration, the 2P parking controls located in Torrens Avenue, Fullarton (between house numbers 16 and 17 and the Fisher Street intersection), be removed.
- 3. Following the conclusion of the public consultation process, and subject to no objections being raised, the Principal Petitioner be formally advised that the 2P parking controls located in Torrens Avenue, Fullarton (between house numbers 16 and 17 and the Fisher Street intersection) will be removed.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0055/19

ITEM 4.2 OFFER OF GIFT: LECORNU SITE SIGNAGE MOVED Councillor E. Wright

SECONDED Councillor K. Anastassiadis

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. Kaufland Pty Ltd be thanked for the offer to gift the LeCornu sign from 10 Anzac Highway, Forestville, to the City of Unley, and the offer be declined.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0056/19

ITEM 4.3 DISCRETIONARY RATE REBATE APPLICATIONS

MOVED Councillor J. Russo SECONDED Councillor S. Dewing

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. That the following applications for a discretionary rate rebate under Section 166 of the *Local Government Act 1999* be granted a 75% rate rebate for the rating years from 2019-20 until the end of the current Council (2022/23):
 - a) the Bible College of SA under Section 166(1)(d) of the *Local Government Act 1999*.
 - b) the Community Child Care Centre Inc. under Section 166(1)(d) of the Local Government Act 1999.
 - c) the Tabor College Inc. under Section 166 (1)(d) of the Local Government Act 1999.
 - d) the Unley Community Child Care Centre Inc. under Section 166(1)(d) of the *Local Government Act 1999.*
 - e) the Unley Early Learning Centre under Section 166(1)(d) of the *Local Government Act 1999*.
 - f) the Rosefield Uniting Church under Section 166(1)(g) of the *Local Government Act 1999.*
 - g) the SA Council of Social Services under Section 166(1)(j) of the *Local Government Act 1999*.
 - h) the St John Ambulance Australia SA Inc. under Section 166(1)(j) of the *Local Government Act 1999*.
 - i) the RSL Unley Sub Branch under Section 166(1)(j) of the *Local Government Act 1999*.
- 3. That the following applications for a discretionary rate rebate under Section 166 of the *Local Government Act 1999* be granted a 50% rate rebate for the rating years from 2019-20 until the end of the current Council (2022/23):
 - a) the Uniting Church in Australia Property Trust under Section 166(1)(j) of the *Local Government Act 1999*.
- 4. That the following applications for a discretionary rate rebate under Section 166 of the *Local Government Act 1999* be granted a 25% rate rebate for the rating years from 2019-20 until the end of the current Council (2022/23):
 - a) the Chinese Association under Section 166(1)(c)(g)(j) of the Local Government Act 1999.
 - b) the Latvian Co-operative under Section 166(1)(c)(d)(g)(j) of the *Local Government Act 1999*.

CARRIED

Resolution No. C0057/19

ITEM 4.4 UNDERGROUNDING OF POWER LINES

MOVED Councillor J. Boisvert SECONDED Councillor M. Broniecki

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0058/19

ITEM 4.5 5-YEAR CULTURAL PLAN

MOVED Councillor P. Hughes SECONDED Councillor E. Wright

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. A 5-Year Cultural Plan be developed for Council's consideration and endorsement.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0059/19

ITEM 4.6 REQUEST TO FLY THE RAINBOW FLAG - CELEBRATING DIVERSITY MONTH NOVEMBER 2019

MOVED Councillor J. Russo SECONDED Councillor J. Dodd

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. The Rainbow Flag be flown from the fifth flag pole situated at the Civic Centre on Unley Road for the month of November 2019, in support of the "Pride Month" initiative of the Feast Festival.
- 3. The Rainbow Flag continue to be displayed in the windows of the Civic Centre Customer Service area, facing on to Unley Road and the rear car park for the month of November and be accompanied by information on the "Pride Month" initiative of the Feast Festival.

The vote was TIED.

The Mayor exercised his CASTING VOTE and voted IN FAVOUR of the MOTION.

The MOTION was declared **CARRIED**

Resolution No. C0060/19

ITEM 4.7 APPLICATION TO BECOME A TREE CITY OF THE WORLD

MOVED Councillor J. Dodd SECONDED Councillor M. Broniecki

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. An application be submitted for City of Unley to join the Tree Cities of the World, a new international network dedicated to sharing and adopting the most successful approaches to managing urban trees and forests.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0061/19

ITEM 4.8 ESTABLISHMENT OF THE STRATEGIC PROPERTY COMMITTEE MOVED Councillor P. Hughes SECONDED Councillor D. Palmer

Councillor Wright left the Chambers at 7:46pm Councillor Wright returned to the Chambers at 7:49pm

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. Council establish the Strategic Property Committee in accordance with Section 41 of the *Local Government Act 1999*.
- 3. Council adopt the Strategic Property Committee Terms of Reference set out at Attachment 1 to this report (Item No 4.8, Council Meeting 22/07/2019).
- 4. Membership of the Strategic Property Committee comprises up to six (6) Elected Members with the Mayor *ex officio*.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0062/19

Councillor M. Hudson left the Chambers at 8:02pm

MOVED Councillor P. Hughes SECONDED Councillor D. Palmer

- 5. The following. Elected Members be appointed as members of the Strategic Property Committee:
 - 5.1 Cr Palmer
 - 5.2 Cr Anastassiadis
 - 5.3 Cr Broniecki
 - 5.4 Cr Rabbitt
 - 5.5 Cr Hughes
 - 5.6 Cr Dodd
- 6. Councillor Palmer be appointed Presiding Member of the Strategic Property Committee until the end of the current term of Council unless revoked earlier by Council.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0063/19

Councillor M. Hudson returned to the Chambers at 8:05pm

ITEM 4.9 APPROVAL OF FEE: COPIES OF DEVELOPMENT DOCUMENTS NOT ON NOTIFICATION

MOVED Councillor J. Russo SECONDED Councillor J. Boisvert

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. The Fees and Charges Schedule be amended to include an application fee of \$25.00 for a request for copies of documents in accordance with regulation 101 of the *Development Regulations* 2008, with the fee to take effect from the date of this Council decision.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0064/19

ITEM 4.10 REVIEW OF POLICIES

MOVED Councillor J. Dodd SECONDED Councillor K. Anastassiadis

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. The following policies (set out as Attachments 1 to 6 to Item 4.10, Council Meeting 22/07/2019) be adopted:
 - 2.1 Caretaker Policy (Version 5);
 - 2.2 Flag Policy (Version 4);
 - 2.3 Public Arts Policy (Version 4);
 - 2.4 Community Grants and Sponsorship Policy (Version 2);
 - 2.5 Footpath Trading Policy (Version 2); and
 - 2.6 Hire of Community Centres and Town Hall Fee Discount Policy (Version 2).

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0065/19

ITEM 4.11 COUNCIL ACTION RECORDS

MOVED Councillor D. Palmer SECONDED Councillor M. Broniecki

That:

1. The report be noted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0066/19

5. MOTIONS AND QUESTIONS

5.1 MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

ITEM 5.1.1

NOTICE OF MOTION FROM COUNCILLOR P. HUGHES RE: OPPORTUNITIES TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE HISTORIC LOW INTEREST RATE ENVIRONMENT

MOVED Councillor P. Hughes SECONDED Councillor E. Wright

That:

1. Administration prepare a report that identifies opportunities to take advantage of the historic low interest rate environment.

CARRIED

DIVISION

A Division was called by Councillor P. Hughes and the previous decision set aside.

Those voting in the affirmative:

Councillors P. Hughes, K. Anastassiadis, S. Dewing, J. Dodd, M. Hudson, D. Palmer, M. Rabbitt, J. Russo, N. Sheehan and E. Wright

Those voting in the negative:

Councillors J. Boisvert and M. Broniecki

The MOTION was declared **CARRIED**

Resolution No. C0067/19

5.2 MOTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

Nil

5.3 QUESTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

ITEM 5.3.1

QUESTION ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR J. BOISVERT RE: CODE OF CONDUCT COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION

The following Questions on Notice were received from Councillor J. Boisvert and the answers provided:

1. What were the legal costs incurred for the recent Code of Conduct investigation?

Prior to commencement of the investigation a quote was provided by Minter Ellison Lawyers. The quote was for a capped fee of \$3,500 plus GST (total \$3,850) and this is the amount invoiced following completion of the investigation.

A separate piece of advice was sought in relation to the presentation of the Final Report to Council and whether this should occur in confidence. The cost of that advice was \$594.10 plus GST (total \$653.51).

2. Who authorised the investigation to be dealt with by lawyers instead of mediation?

In accordance with the Complaints Handling Procedure under Council Members' Code of Conduct (the Complaint Handling Procedure) the Principal Member is reponsible for determining whether the complaint relates to Part 2 of the Code, Part 3 of the Code or is criminal/corrupt behaviour, and is then charged with determining how a complaint shall be dealt with. A non-exhaustive list of options (resolve the matter internally, refer the complaint to the Local Government Governance Panel or dismiss the allegation) is provided within the Complaint Handling Procedure to assist the Presiding Member to do so.

In this matter, the Mayor determined that it should be referred externally to a legal firm for investigation.

3. What administrative protocols are currently in place for the distribution of correspondence to Elected Members received from external parties?

The current practice for distribution of correspondence to Elected Members received from external parties is to forward them directly at the first opportunity. Whilst the occurrence is not frequent, there have been instances in the preceding twelve months where envelopes addressed to Elected Members were left with Customer Service, these were subsequently placed directly into Elected Member pigeon holes. There have also been emails received (via both the POBox1 email and directly by individual staff) which are forwarded to Elected Members council email addresses.

Information received is not filtered or reviewed by staff.

4. How can these be altered to ensure that 'inappropriate' emails are not forwarded to all councillors?

If Council wishes to implement a process whereby correspondence for Elected Members received from external parties is evaluated prior to distribution then it would be necessary for Council to determine the criteria that should be used for staff to undertake that assessment.

5. Other councils deal with these matters confidentially. Why was this not the case for this one?

As indicated in response to Question 1, legal advice was sought as to the correct process for presentation of the Final Report to Council. Without replicating the full detail of the advice, it indicated:

- The Code of Conduct for Council Members prescribes at 2.24 that a breach of the Code must the subject of a report to a public meeting of the Council;
- The Complaints Handling Procedure states on page 6 that a breach of Part 2 of the Code must be the subject of a report to a public meeting of the Council; and
- The "report" in this instance means the actual investigation report.

On that basis the advice concluded that the full Final Report, which found that a breach of the Code of Conduct occurred, must be presented to Council as a public item.

ITEM 5.3.2 QUESTION ON NOTICE FROM MAYOR HEWITSON RE: LEGAL COSTS AND OPTIONS TO REDUCE THESE WITH CODE OF CONDUCT COMPLAINTS AND OTHER REVIEWS

The following Questions on Notice were received from Mayor M Hewitson and the answers provided:

1. How much did the investigation of the recent Code of Conduct matter cost?

Prior to commencement of the investigation a quote was provided by Minter Ellison Lawyers. The quote was for a capped fee of \$3,500 plus GST (total \$3,850) and this is the amount invoiced following completion of the investigation.

A separate piece of advice was sought by Administration in relation to the presentation of the Final Report to Council and whether this should occur in confidence. The cost of that advice was \$594.10 plus GST (total \$653.51).

2. How much would external mediation have cost?

The cost of mediation is influenced by a range of factors, most notably the amount of time taken to complete the mediation process. This in turn is influenced by the way in which parties to the process participate. An hourly rate in the order of \$330 per hour is indicative of the costs associated with a professional mediation process.

3. If one of the parties does not accept mediation and insists on a legal investigation, could the Mayor insist on mediation?

The Complaints Handling Procedure under the Council Member's Code of Conduct (The Complaints Handling Procedure) currently provides:

Alleged Breach of Part 2 – Internal Response

- 1. Only matters which are determined to be of a minor nature will be dealt with internally and only with the agreement of the parties. The Principal Member may hold meetings with the complainant and the Council Member and may seek mediation and conciliation between the parties in an attempt to resolve the matter to the satisfaction of all parties. This may be appropriate, for example, where the complainant is also a Council Member.
- 2. The Principal Member must ensure that the principles of natural justice and procedural fairness are observed.
- 3. Where the matter is resolved by the Principal Member to the satisfaction of all the parties, the matter will be closed and no further action will be taken. The Principal Member will send written confirmation to all the parties confirming that the matter has been resolved and provide a report to a public meeting of the Council.

Whilst the above allows for a matter to be resolved by the Principal Member, it requires the agreement of the parties. The Complaints Handling Procedure could be modified to include a requirement for mediation to occur in relation to certain complaints.

4. To minimise conduct complaints with all costs being borne by ratepayers, could Council resolve a motion such as; That for future complaints:

"The complainant be liable for a monetary contribution of an agreed amount, for example \$500, should the complaint be found baseless, or in the alternate if supported, the person complained about be liable for the agreed amount as a contribution to costs of ratepayers."?

Section 188(1) of the *Local Government Act 1999* (the Act) provides the power for Council to impose fees or charges in certain circumstances. There are no fixed or prescribed fees that are relevant to the proposal above. Consideration has been given to the applicability of the power to charge for services supplied to a person at their request, or for carrying out work at a person's request.

Whilst it could be argued that in lodging a complaint alleging a breach of the Code of Conduct the person is requesting 'investigatory services', this is unlikely to withstand a challenge that lodging a Code of Conduct complaint equates to a request for service. Any fee imposed on this basis would equally not withstand a challenge as to its validity.

Given that Council does not have any inherent powers, it must rely on either the Act or the Code of Conduct to impose such a fee. As there is no apparent relevant provision in either the Act or the Code means that any resolution of Council like the proposal would be *ultra vires*.

5. How much did the S270 review, regarding the installation of the pedestrian crossing in Young Street, Parkside cost and how many applications for a review were received?

Two applications for a review of the installation of a Children's Crossing on Young Street, Parkside were received. Following receipt of the first application for review a quote was sought from KelledyJones Lawyers to undertake the review. The quote received was \$5,250 (+GST), and this was provided as the maximum fee payable (a fee cap).

Upon receipt of the second application for review Administration sought to join the two applications, given they related to the same matter. A further quote was requested, and the additional fee was \$1,350 (+GST), which brought the total amount for the conduct of the two reviews to \$6,600 (+GST).

6. Could the principle of a monetary contribution as described in question 4, be applied to a review that is not initiated by Council? Could Council resolve a motion such as; That for future legal reviews: "The complainant be liable for a monetary contribution of an agreed amount, for example between \$50 and \$500 on an needs basis, should the complaint be found baseless, or in the alternate if supported, the person complained about be liable for the agreed amount as a contribution to costs of ratepayers."?

Section 270(1) of the *Local Government Act 1999* requires Council to establish procedures for the review of decisions of the council, employees of the council or other persons acting on behalf of the council. Council cannot charge a fee for such a review (expressly stated at s.270(3), nor can a charge be imposed by Council on an applicant based on the outcome of the review and in addition to any findings of the review.

Council may refuse to consider an application for review (s270(4)) if it appears the application is frivolous or vexatious, or the applicant does not have a sufficient interest in the matter.

5.4 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

ITEM 5.4 QUESTION WITHOUT NOTICE

Councillor J. Russo asked a question in relation to a current list of council affiliations that are linked to policy.

The question was **TAKEN ON NOTICE**.

Councillor S. Dewing asked a question in relation to a current list of council owned properties.

The question was **TAKEN ON NOTICE**.

Councillor J. Russo asked a number of questions in relation to current expenditure on Elected Member food and beverage.

The question was **TAKEN ON NOTICE**.

6. MEMBER'S COMMUNICATION

6.1 MAYORS REPORT

ITEM 6.1.1
MAYOR'S REPORT FOR MONTH OF JUNE
MOVED Councillor M. Rabbitt
SECONDED Councillor P. Hughes

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0068/19

6.2 DEPUTY MAYORS REPORT

ITEM 6.2.1 DEPUTY MAYOR'S REPORT FOR MONTH OF JUNE

MOVED Councillor N. Sheehan SECONDED Councillor D. Palmer

RECOMMENDATION

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0069/19

6.3 ELECTED MEMBERS REPORTS

ITEM 6.3.1 REPORTS OF MEMBERS

The reports from Members:

- 1. Councillor J. Russo
- 2. Councillor M. Broniecki
- 3. Councillor M. Rabbitt
- 4. Councillor D. Palmer

were noted.

ITEM 6.3.2 REPORT FROM COUNCILLOR J. BOISVERT - APOLOGY

In accordance with the requirements of Council Resolution C0046/19 I provide the following apology:

I wish to apologise to Cr Hughes for the content of the email that I sent in January. It was not my intent to offend him but, nevertheless, he was and for this I apologise.

I look forward to meeting with Cr Hughes in the near future to discuss this matter and any other matters that may also be of concern.

6.4 CORRESPONDENCE

ITEM 6.4.1 CORRESPONDENCE

The correspondence from:

- Mayor Hewitson The Hon. Stephan Knoll MP re. Built Heritage, Contributory Items & Character
- The Hon. Stephan Knoll MP re. 2018-19 Planning & Development Fund, Local Government Grant Programs
- Mayor Hewitson re. CEO's Travel
- LGA of SA re. Libraries Board of SA Panel Submission of Nominees for Consideration

was noted.

7. CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS

7. CONTIDENTIAL TIEWS

ITEM 7.1 CONFIDENTIALITY MOTION FOR 7.2 - CENTENNIAL PARK CEMETERY AUTHORITY - 2019/20 BUDGET

MOVED Councillor M. Broniecki SECONDED Councillor M. Rabbitt

That:

- 1. Pursuant to Section 90(2) and (3)(d)(i) and (d)(ii) of the *Local Government Act 1999*, the principle that the meeting should be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in relation to this matter because it relates to:
 - commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied the information, or to confer a commercial advantage on a third party; and
 - commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest.
- 2. In weighing up the factors related to disclosure:
 - disclosure of this matter to the public would demonstrate accountability and transparency of the Council's operations; and
 - non-disclosure of this item at this time will enable Council to receive the 2019/20 Centennial Park Cemetery Authority Budget without compromising the commercial position of the CPCA.

On that basis, the public's interest is best served by not disclosing 7.2 Centennial Park Cemetery Authority - 2019/20 Budget, Report and discussion at this point in time.

3. Pursuant to Section 90(2) of the *Local Government Act 1999* it is recommended the Council orders that all members of the public be excluded, with the exception of staff of the City of Unley on duty in attendance.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0070/19

The doors to the Council Chambers were closed at 8.30pm

ITEM 7.2 CENTENNIAL PARK CEMETERY AUTHORITY - 2019/20 BUDGET MOVED Councillor J. Russo SECONDED Councillor M. Rabbitt

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0071/19

The doors to the Council Chambers were opened at 8.39pm

ITEM 7.3 CONFIDENTIALITY MOTION TO REMAIN IN CONFIDENCE FOR 7.2 CENTENNIAL PARK CEMETERY AUTHORITY - 2019/20 BUDGET

MOVED Councillor D. Palmer SECONDED Councillor S. Dewing

That:

1.	followin	ant to Section 91(7) of the <i>Local Government Act 1999</i> the ng elements of Item 7.2 Centennial Park Cemetery Authority - 20 Budget, considered at the Council Meeting on 22 July 2019:	
		Minutes	
	\boxtimes	Report	
	\boxtimes	Attachment	

remain confidential until 31 November 2020 and not available for public inspection until the cessation of that period.

2. Pursuant to Section 91(9)(c) of the *Local Government Act 1999*, the power to revoke the order under Section 91(7) prior to any review or as a result of any review is delegated to the Chief Executive Officer.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0072/19

Monday 26 August 2019 - 7.00pm.
CLOSURE
The Presiding Member closed the meeting at 8.39pm.
PRESIDING MEMBER

NEXT MEETING