

COUNCIL MEETING

**Minutes of the Corporation of the City of Unley
Held on Monday 25 February 2013 at 7.04pm
in the Civic Centre
181 Unley Road Unley**

PRESENT

His Worship the Mayor, Mr L Clyne (Presiding Member)
Councillors J Koumi M Hudson
 R Sangster M Saies
 J Boisvert D Palmer
 A Lapidge P Hughes
 B Schnell (Deputy Mayor)
 R Salaman M Hewitson

OFFICERS PRESENT

Chief Executive Officer, Mr P Tsokas
A/General Manager Economic Development & Planning, Mr P Weymouth
General Manager Community, Ms M Berghuis
General Manager People & Governance, Mr S Faulkner
General Manager Infrastructure & Assets, Mr J Devine
A/Manager Governance, Ms K Loughhead
Manager Public Realm & Integrated Movement, Mr K Davis
Recreation & Open Space Coordinator, Mr J Wilkinson
Manager Community Development, Ms M Smith
Executive Assistant to CEO, Ms C Gowland

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Presiding Member opened the meeting with the Aboriginal Acknowledgement.

PRAYER AND SERVICE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Members stood in silence in memory of those who had made the Supreme Sacrifice in the service of their country, at sea, on land and in the air.

WELCOME

The Presiding Member welcomed Members of Council, Senior Staff and the media to the February 2013 meeting of the Unley City Council.

APOLOGIES:

Councillor D Tipper

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Nil

ITEM 666

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

MOVED Councillor Lapidge

SECONDED Councillor Palmer

That:

1. The minutes of the Council Meeting held on Tuesday 29 January 2013, as printed and circulated, be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

PRESENTATION

The Mayor made a presentation to Mr Theo Maras AM, for his service to the Unley Street Life Trust and Unley Business and Economic Development Committees, over the past 12 years.

The Mayor advised that Item 670, Adjourned Item 651 – Elector Representation Review should be brought forward, prior to discussion on any other reports. This was agreed with a two thirds majority.

ITEM 670

ADJOURNED ITEM 651 – ELECTOR REPRESENTATION REVIEW

RECOMMENDATION FOR ADJOURNED SECTIONS 6 AND 9

MOVED Councillor Hudson

SECONDED Councillor Hewitson

6. The ward structure to be changed and presented as Option 1A, in the representation review paper.

Councillor Boisvert MOVED as an AMENDMENT, SECONDED Councillor Sangster, that:

6. The ward structure to be changed and presented as Option 1A in the representation review paper, with the following amendments:
- the boundary between Unley Park and Goodwood South Wards to be Goodwood Road
 - the boundary between Parkside and Unley Wards to be along George Street, Regent Street, Porter Street, to Greenhill Road.
 - the boundary between Unley Park and Fullarton Wards be Windsor Street rather than Fern Avenue.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The AMENDMENT then became the **AMENDED MOTION** which was put and **CARRIED**

MOVED Councillor Hughes

SECONDED Councillor Hudson

9. To authorise the preparation of a report (pursuant to the provisions of Section 12(8a) of the Local Government Act 1999) and the initiation of the required three week (minimum) second public consultation process.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor Saies declared a conflict of interest regarding the ward structure, as his ward was under discussion, and left the Chamber at 7.14pm.

Councillor Saies returned to the Chamber at 7.19pm at the conclusion of the discussion.

ITEM 667
AUDIT COMMITTEE

MOVED Councillor Sangster
SECONDED Councillor Saies

That:

1. The minutes of the Audit Committee held on Tuesday 5 February 2013 be received.
2. The recommendations listed under Items 57 – 60 inclusive, be adopted.

CARRIED

The Mayor advised the Council that given the number of representations that Items 672, 673, 674 and 675 should be brought forward.
This was agreed with a two thirds majority.

ITEM 672
GREY BOX TREES AT 18 WINIFRED AVENUE BLACK FOREST

Ms Kate Hubmayer made a representation to the Council prior to discussion on this subject.

MOVED Councillor Boisvert
SECONDED Councillor Hewitson

That:

1. Council include Tree Number 1 and Tree Number 2 of the two individual Grey Box (*Eucalyptus microcarpa*) located at 18 Winifred Avenue Black Forest in the significant tree register; Table Un 8 of the Unley (City) Development Plan; when the next Development Plan Amendment (DPA) to review Table Un8 occurs.

CARRIED

ITEM 673

LEASE OF UNLEY OVAL TO STURT DISTRICT CRICKET CLUB

Mr Richard Bartlett made a representation to the Council prior to debate on this Item.

MOVED Councillor Hewitson

SECONDED Councillor Hughes

That:

1. The report be received.
2. Attachment 1 to Item 673/13 (AS AMENDED) be endorsed for the purposes of community consultation on a new lease agreement for Sturt District Cricket Club.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEM 674

LEASE OF PART 1 CURZON AVENUE MILLSWOOD TO GOODWOOD SAINTS FOOTBALL CLUB

Mr Craig Scott made a representation to the Council prior to debate on this Item.

MOVED Councillor Palmer

SECONDED Councillor Boisvert

That:

1. The report be received.
2. Attachment 1 to Item 674/13 be endorsed for the purposes of community consultation on a new lease agreement for the Goodwood Saints Football Club.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEM 675

**LEASE OF PART 1 CURZON AVENUE MILLSWOOD TO FORESTVILLE
HOCKEY CLUB**

Ms Mimi Wang, Vice President, made a representation to the Council prior to debate on this Item.

MOVED Councillor Palmer

SECONDED Councillor Boisvert

That:

1. The report be received.
2. Attachment 1 to Item 675/13 be endorsed for the purposes of community consultation on a new lease agreement for Forestville Hockey Club.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEM 668

MAYOR'S REPORT

MOVED Councillor Palmer

SECONDED Councillor Hughes

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEM 669
REPORTS OF MEMBERS

MOVED Councillor
SECONDED Councillor

(a) Items of particular interest, concern or urgency

Councillor Schnell's report attached.

Councillor Hewitson – thanked Councillors Hudson and Hughes for assistance provided to him with his book 'How Children Will Learn'.

Councillor Palmer – problem with microphones during DAP meeting.

Councillor Sangster – raised the issue regarding the microphones.

Pedestrian killed on Unley Road. Can we get any response from the State Government to make Unley Road traffic flow safely (right turn lanes). Would like feedback from Administration to see if it can be pursued.

Councillor Salaman – Commented about accident on Unley Road. Discussion on traffic on Unley Road.

Councillor Hudson – Reiterated the problem with the microphones. Attended the session on Unley Oval Master Plan.

Councillor Koumi – discussion regarding King William Road.

Councillor Schnell – explanation on the 'Yarn Bombing' which had occurred in and around the Council Chamber. Comments regarding the noise to residents living adjacent to the rail corridor work.

Councillor Saies – Section 41 committee restructure – Motion on Notice was refused.

(b) Centennial Park Cemetery Authority

Councillor Lapidge provided Members with an update on the outcome of the Board meeting held on 31 January.

Approximately 100 people attended the opening of the new Operations Complex, which was opened by the Governor.

(c) Development Matters

Nil

REPORT FROM COUNCILLOR SCHNELL – DEPUTY MAYOR

Discussions with Mayor and CEO – various.

10 February 2013

Attended Carnevale Italian Festival, Official Ceremony – Adelaide Showground.
Mayor unable to attend.

13 February 2013

Attended Presiding Member training session – Unley Town Hall.

ITEM 670

ADJOURNED ITEM 651 – ELECTOR REPRESENTATION REVIEW

See page 3 of these Minutes.

ITEM 671

WAYVILLE STATION

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Palmer

1. The report be received.
2. The draft report as detailed in Attachment 3 to Item 671/13 be endorsed for submission to the Development Assessment Commission.

CARRIED

DIVISION

A Division was called and the previous decision set aside.

Those voting in the affirmative:

Councillors Boisvert, Lapidge, Salaman, Schnell, Sangster, Palmer, Hughes, Hudson and Hewitson.

Those voting in the negative:

Councillors Saies and Koumi.

The MOTION was then declared **CARRIED**

Councillor Hudson left the meeting at 8.34pm returning at 8.35pm during debate on the above Item.

ITEM 672

GREY BOX TREES AT 18 WINIFRED AVENUE BLACK FOREST

See page 4 of these Minutes.

ITEM 673

LEASE OF UNLEY OVAL TO STURT DISTRICT CRICKET CLUB

See page 5 of these Minutes.

ITEM 674

LEASE OF PART 1 CURZON AVENUE MILLSWOOD TO GOODWOOD SAINTS FOOTBALL CLUB

See page 5 of these Minutes.

ITEM 675

LEASE OF PART 1 CURZON AVENUE MILLSWOOD TO FORESTVILLE HOCKEY CLUB

See page 6 of these Minutes.

ITEM 676

COMMUNITY GRANTS ASSESSMENT REVIEW

MOVED Councillor Hewitson
SECONDED Councillor Palmer

That:

1. The report be received.
2. The changes to the Grant Guidelines and Assessment Matrix outlined in this report be endorsed for the next round of funding in March 2013.
3. The Community, Cultural, Recreation and Environment Grants Policy be amended to reflect the role of the Community and Culture Committee in considering applications and in making recommendations to Council.
4. The Administration conduct a review of the Community, Cultural, Recreation and Environment Grants Policy and report back to Council in July 2013.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor Salaman left the meeting at 9.20pm returning at 9.21pm after discussion on the above Item.

ITEM 677
NOTICE OF MOTION FOR THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION
GENERAL MEETING – 18 AND 19 APRIL 2013

MOVED Mayor Clyne
SECONDED Councillor Boisvert

That:

1. The report be received.
2. The Notice of Motion as detailed in Attachment 1 to Item 677/13, titled “Inner Rim Council Representation on the Adelaide Park Lands Authority Board of Management” be endorsed and submitted to the LGA for consideration at the General Meeting on 19 April 2013.

Councillor Hudson MOVED as an AMENDMENT, SECONDED Councillor Salaman that:

1. The report be received.
2. The Notice of Motion as detailed in Attachment 1 to Item 677/13 (as amended – with up to three representatives), titled “Inner Rim Council Representation on the Adelaide Park Lands Authority Board of Management” be endorsed and submitted to the LGA for consideration at the General Meeting on 19 April 2013.

CARRIED

The AMENDMENT on being put was **CARRIED**

The AMENDMENT then became the **AMENDED MOTION** which was put and
CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor Saies left the Chambers at 9.31pm.

ITEM 678
TOBACCO PRODUCTS REGULATION ACT 1997

MOVED Councillor Boisvert
SECONDED Councillor Hughes

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEM 679
END OF MONTH FINANCIAL REPORT

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Palmer

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEM 680
COUNCIL ACTION REPORTS

MOVED Councillor Boisvert
SECONDED Councillor Sangster

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEM 681
CEO INFORMATION REPORT

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Hughes

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEM 682
QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR BOISVERT RE PAGE PARK

The following Questions on Notice were asked as Questions Without Notice by Councillor Boisvert at the December meeting of Council and the answers are provided:

BACKGROUND

A resident has asked the following questions:

I have walked my dog(s) in Page Park for the last 20 years and I have noticed that the council has been removing the old wooden exercise equipment over a very long time.

My issue is not the removal of the equipment as it was old and badly up kept, but more so the time frame.

The "climb over" was removed approx 2 years ago

The rope 1 year ago, and the chain walk 1 month ago

QUESTIONS

1. *Why were they not removed all at once?*

Answer

As the wooden exercise items were in differing stages of decline and repairability, removal occurred when each item was no longer considered safe. The "A-Frame Climber" was removed to accommodate the new toilet block.

2. *Was this done by the council or an outside contractor?*

Answer

The "A-Frame Climber" was removed by Council staff. The "Balance Log" was removed by the contractor whom had repaired the item on occasion. The "Rope Climber" was removed by a different contractor.

3. *If it was a contractor who ordered the removal and was it the same contractor every time?*

Answer

The Team Leader responsible for maintenance of the park, and not a contractor, ordered the removal of each item.

4. *If it was the council how do they justify the waste of money, manpower and the equipment coming back 3 times to remove?"*

Answer

As stated, each item was in differing stages of decline and repairability, removal occurred when each item was no longer considered safe. The "A-Frame Climber" was removed to accommodate the new toilet block.

ITEM 683

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR PALMER RE LINE MARKING AND SMS SWEEPER PILOT PROGRAM

The following Questions on Notice have been received from Councillor Palmer and the answers are provided:

Background

1. Line Marking

I notice in my travels that many roads on Goodwood South and elsewhere within the City that have very poor line marking. In some instances we would not know if line marking was ever there other than by memory.

2. SMS Sweeper pilot program

This is a program I suggested probably 18 months ago so I am keen to know where we are at with it. Conversations with a number of residents recently concerned about the sweeping program and who were not aware of the trial were responded positively when told of the trial.

Questions

1. Line Marking

1) what program do we have for line marking?

Answer

Council has a number of line marking programs in place being :

- as part of the road reseal programme
- when new or any modifications are undertaken to traffic treatments and
- a cyclic renewal line marking program.

2) what is the expected life of line marking in a given street?

Answer

The expected life of line marking can vary due to traffic levels and type. The renewal line marking program is normally undertaken over a 2 to 3 years period however due some contractual matters the program is behind. It is expected this will be addressed over the next 6 to 12 months.

3) do we have a proactive program for identifying and repainting line marking, or do we wait on the public to advise us?

Answer

Although we do receive requests from residents the identification of any non compliance line marking is undertaken by field staff and as part Council condition audit program.

Currently linemarking is completed by contract, however we will be reviewing that to see whether we should bring all or part of it in house to provide a more responsive, efficient service.

2. SMS Sweeper pilot program

1) Do we have the results of the pilot SMS program we trialled last year?

Answer

During the trial, Depot Admin collected feedback offered from participants and a list is available. The survey of the trial has been sent to participants recently to gain their feedback.

2) If not when can we expect them?

Answer

The survey forms are due to be returned by Friday 1 March 2013. After this date, [the results will be collated and reviewed](#) against the objectives of the trial to determine the success or not of the trial.

- 3) Does the Depot Management have a view notwithstanding the public survey on how well it went?

Answer

Participants who were invited to participate in the trial were very keen and positive about the use of SMS notifications. This has not been trialled anywhere else in Australia that we are aware of.

The actual delivery of the SMS message was the easy part - the delivering of the service on the allocated day and to an acceptable level was more difficult.

Problems arose with the timing due to heavy leaf fall, machinery breakdown, staff absence and cars parked in the street to be swept.

Even if the program was expanded it would not alleviate the vehicles being parked on the street as most were by non-residents and all day parkers.

To remedy some of these issues Council could look at the level of service we are currently providing and to what areas (some areas require more frequent sweeping due to the type of trees). Also Council could review the current street sweeping program (ie provide a program whereby one side of the street is bollarded off and then swept properly leaving the other side of the road free for cars to park. The other side would be swept at another time in the program).

- 4) What would that view be?

Answer

See answer to No. 3 above.

ITEM 684

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR SCHNELL RE MOBILE APP

The following Questions on Notice have been received from Councillor Schnell and the answers are provided:

Preamble

I have heard that the LGA is developing a mobile app to provide information on basic Council services offered by all SA Councils.

My understanding is as follows:

- The app is to be called My Services
- It is being created by the LGA (or commissioned by the LGA)
- It is expected to be released in early 2014

- The app will provide basic information about the services provided by Councils across SA
- The app will provide information on and locations for libraries, public toilets, parks, dog parks, etc.

Questions

1. *Was Unley (and other Councils) consulted about the concept and design of the mobile app?*

Answer

The “My Local Services” app was a result of a LGA workshop held approximately 18 months ago when the various GIS officers reviewed the current status of “SA Council Maps” (up to that time SA Council Maps was maintained and run from a Council infrastructure). The result of the workshop was that 2 major recommendations were made:

- a) To review and redesign the user interface of “SA Council Maps” (note deemed to be cumbersome and not in line with other products currently on the market e.g. Google maps).
- b) To develop a general customer request (CR) app for all SA Councils with the view that this app for mobile devices will provide a standard CR interface i.e. a graffiti CR submitted for Unley Council would look the same for one submitted for Ceduna Council.

2. *Will the app be available on both Apple and Android devices?*

Answer

Yes, and the more featured SA Council Maps revamp will be HTML5 responsive website for desktop and mobile device.

3. *Is the LGA providing 100% of the funding?*

Answer

Funds for the development of the app comes from the LGA R&D scheme

4. *Has Unley Council provided any sample information to the LGA?*

Answer

Not at this stage as it is in the early stages of development. LGA issued a tender and it is our understanding that Deloitte IT is the successful supplier and the project is only in its early stages. 2 workshops are being held to define the “My Services” app requirements, including feedback from for residents/customers regarding expectations and council staff. The outcomes from both workshops will be provided to Councils at a later stage.

5. *Will the app provide links to Councils' websites?*

Answer

Yes.

6. *Is there scope for the app to provide additional (and richer) information? eg. photos of Elected Members, customer service contact details, emergency after hours contacts, blue/yellow/green bin days, swimming pool location/hours, weekend markets, etc.*

Answer

Any information already in SA Council Maps can be made available in the "My Services" app but it is uncertain at this stage what type of information will be displayed.

The app will also source data for councils existing Unity6 CMS consoles, used to run Council websites.

This will reduce the double handling of work to keep the app up-to-date, and will provide a tool to Council staff which they are already familiar with.

The outcome of the workshop will help determine the final data sets to make it in to the app.

7. *Will the app use maps to display the locations?*

Answer

Yes.

8. *Will any of Unley's parks be listed as a dog park?*

Answer

If the information is entered into councils Unity6 Console (for the public website) then yes.

LGA will be engaging councils closer to the release date for updated data sets.

9. *For dog parks that are listed, will there be the option of including times when off-leash is permitted?*

Answer

Yes - refer above

10. *Is there scope to change the name of the app to be something more meaningful eg. SA Council Services (SACS)?*

Answer

My Local Services Application is the working project title, a final production name for the app is yet to be decided.

11. *Will there be a trial period so that Unley can assess the app before its release?*

Answer

This has not been decided yet, as the project is still very early into the development. A staged release to council areas is envisaged and will provide time to get data sets up-to-date and time for testing.

ITEM 685

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR PALMER RE CHANGE IN TRAFFIC DIRECTION AT MITCHELL, PARK AND KING WILLIAM ROAD

The following Questions on Notice have been received from Councillor Palmer and the answers are provided:

- 1 *What is the progress in developing a case for approval from DPTI to revert back to the original traffic conditions to the corner of King William Road and Park, Mitchell Streets?*

Answer

The Administration is developing a SIDRA model which was requested by the DPTI prior to making any changes. A Traffic Count was necessary to undertake the SIDRA model and these counts have been carried out in 2nd week of February to accommodate school traffic and get accurate traffic data.

- 2 *When can we expect approval to be forthcoming?*

Answer

The SIDRA model will be submitted to DPTI by the end of February and DPTI will provide an opinion on the lane configuration of the intersection in March.

- 3 *How many complaints are we still getting from frustrated drivers?*

Answer

The City of Unley has recorded 5 complaints since the installation of line markings at the intersection in July 2012.

4 *Why is it necessary to go through this process to change back to what was?*

Answer

This process is necessary to achieve the best outcome from both a traffic efficiency and safety perspective at the intersection. The previous layout was not compliant with the Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 3 specifically due to the lack of merge length at exit lanes on Mitchell Street

5 *What prompted the change in the first place?*

Answer

Customer complaints about motorists getting confused due to lack of line markings and resulting in 'near misses'.

Also, the exit lane length, on Mitchell Street, was not compliant with Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 3 for merging distance required.

6 *If by way of accident statistics can they all be attributed to left hand lane travelling west only?*

Answer

No. There have been 7 crashes (4 Injury and 3 property damage only) at the intersection between 2007 -2011.

The accidents were as follows:

- 4 rear end
- 2 right turn
- 1 right angle

7 *Was there a survey carried out then, such as we are now carrying out?*

Answer

No

8 *Why were Council not informed?*

Answer

Typically, line markings are undertaken by the administration as part of routine traffic management functions.

9 *Is the current setup being policed (I am worried by copying the vast number flouting the current set up that I will get booked)?*

Answer

Not that we aware of. It should however, be noted that SAPOL are authorised to enforce the traffic movements under the Road Traffic Act.

ITEM 691

QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

Councillor Palmer (with a question regarding the Questions with notice) - Given we are normally informed of traffic change conditions before they happen, how come we weren't notified of this one?

(Technically should not have been allowed as it referred to a question on notice)

Councillor Boisvert - Why do our parking permits not explain that when 3 car parks are stacked you only pay for two?

Councillor Boisvert - Will DPTI be providing sound mitigation for residents around the rail separation project? If so, why not? If so, would that be setting a precedent?

Councillor Salaman said his questions had been answered.

Councillor Hudson - Where is the legal advice regarding participation of non committee members at committee meetings?

Councillor Schnell - when and how will the sewerage pipe be repaired in Lane Culvert. (Answered by John Devine).

Councillor Koumi left the meeting at 9.59pm returning at 10.00pm during discussion on the above Items.

ITEM 686

CORRESPONDENCE

The correspondence from

- The Salvation Army – 15 January 2013
- The Salvation Army – Thank you for Christmas Appeal Donations
- Hon Martin Ferguson and The Hon Simon Crean re Tourism 2020

be noted.

ITEM 692
MOTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

Preamble

The residents of Leah Street, Forestville are suffering terribly from the increased traffic load associated with the SA Government Goodwood Junction Project and the rail electrification project. The increase in traffic load is mostly heavy vehicles and buses servicing Noarlunga. Further, workers at Goodwood Junction Project use Leah Street to get to/from the site.

The residents complain of vehicles speeding, especially of heavy vehicles (including buses) that traverse the speed humps.

Residents have noted an increase of noise and vibrations associated with the heavier traffic load.

The noise and vibrations have reached an intolerable level.

The residents have been in contact with the local MP, the Minister, DPTI, the Goodwood Junction Project team, SAPOL, the media and Council. They have not been satisfied with the responses and cannot foresee any action to make life more tolerable.

Intolerable conditions will persist for a further 7-8 months.

It is proposed that Council requests DPTI to impose a 25kph speed limit on Leah Street during the hours of project activity. It is envisaged that DPTI erect 25kph signs in the morning and remove them in the evening.

Further, DPTI is encouraged to liaise with SAPOL and request frequent policing of Leah Street.

The proposed 25kph speed limit will only be for the duration of the project.

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Hewitson

That Council requests The Hon Minister of Transport:

1. To impose a 25kph speed limit on Leah Street, Forestville during project work hours associated with the Goodwood Junction Project.
2. To liaise with SAPOL with regard to frequent policing of the speed limit on Leah Street.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor Boisvert left the meeting at 10.06pm returning at 10.08pm.

ITEM 687
UNRESOLVED ITEMS

Meeting Date	Item	Status
Council 22/3/10	Item 622 (UBED Item 46 Adjourned Debate – Item 46 – Unley Business and Economic Development Committee – Glen Osmond Road Separate Rate Negotiation. (copy attached)	The Item lie on the table.

Councillor Palmer left the meeting at 10.09pm.

ITEM 688
CONFIDENTIALITY MOTION FOR ITEM 689 – EAST WASTE CONTRACT

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Lapidge

That:

- ii. Pursuant to Section 90(2) and (3)(d) of the Local Government Act 1999 the Council orders the public be excluded, with the exception of the following:

Mr P Tsokas, Chief Executive Officer
Mr P Weymouth, A/General Manager Economic Development and Planning
Mr S Faulkner, General Manager People and Governance
Ms M Berghuis, General Manager Community
Mr J Devine, General Manager Assets & Infrastructure
Ms K Loughhead, A/Manager Governance
Ms C Gowland, Executive Assistant to CEO

on the basis that it will receive and consider the report on Waste Management, and that the Council is satisfied that the meeting should be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in relation to this matter because:

- (d) commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which –
 - (ii) could reasonably be expected to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied the information, or to confer a commercial advantage on a third party; and

(ii) would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest,

because, the provision of services to other parties, may confer a commercial advantage to a third party causing an unfair advantage and a higher price to be paid. Disclosure of this information would be contrary to the public interest.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor Hewitson left the meeting at 10.09pm returning at 10.10pm during discussion on the above Item.

The doors to the Council Chamber were closed at 10.10pm

Councillor Hudson left the meeting at 10.10pm.

Councillor Palmer returning to the meeting at 10.11pm.

CONFIDENTIAL

ITEM 689

CONTRACT KERBSIDE WASTE & RECYCLING COLLECTION BETWEEN CITY OF UNLEY AND EAST WASTE

MOVED Councillor Koumi
SECONDED Councillor Lapidge

That:

1. The report be received.
2. The Board of East Waste be advised that Council has agreed to explore the possibility of the City of Unley becoming a Member Council of East Waste.
3. A further report be submitted to Council detailing the advantages and disadvantages of Council becoming a member of East Waste.

FORMAL MOTION

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Salaman

That the Motion be put.

CARRIED

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEM 690

CONFIDENTIALITY MOTION TO REMAIN IN CONFIDENCE ITEM 689 – WASTE MANAGEMENT

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Palmer

That:

- 2.1 The report be received.
- 2.2 Pursuant to Section 91(7) and (9) of the Local Government Act:
- 2.3 The
 - ☒ Minutes
 - ☒ Report
 - ☒ Attachments

remain confidential on the basis that the information supplied could confer a commercial advantage on a third party, and
- 2.4 the report and attachments will be kept confidential until the item is revoked by the Chief Executive Officer.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The doors to the Council Chambers were opened at 10.22pm

ITEM 691

QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

See Page 21 of these Minutes.

ITEM 692
MOTION WITHOUT NOTICE

See page 22 of these Minutes.

CLOSURE

The Presiding Member closed the meeting at 10.22pm.

.....
PRESIDING MEMBER

.....