

COUNCIL MEETING

**Minutes of the Corporation of the City of Unley
held on
Monday 28 February 2011 at 7.04 pm
in the Civic Centre
181 Unley Road Unley**

PRESENT

His Worship the Mayor Mr L Clyne (Presiding Member)
Councillors M Hudson J Koumi
 R Sangster M Saies (arr 7.49pm)
 J Boisvert D Palmer
 A Lapidge P Hughes
 D Tipper R Schnell
 R Salaman M Hewitson

OFFICERS PRESENT

Chief Executive Officer, Mr R Pincombe
Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Ms C Umapathysivam
General Manager City Development, Mr P Tsokas
General Manager City Services, Ms M Bonnici
Manager Governance & Strategic Projects, Ms V Minenko
Asset Manager, Mr J Larwood
Manager Community Development, Ms D Richardson
Policy & Grants Attraction Coordinator, Ms D Horton
Executive Assistant to Deputy CEO, Ms C Gowland

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Presiding Member opened the meeting with the Aboriginal Acknowledgement.

PRAYER

Members stood in silence in memory of those who had made the Supreme Sacrifice in the service of their country, at sea, on land and in the air.

WELCOME

The Presiding Member welcomed Members of Council, Senior Staff, members of the gallery and the media to the February 2011 meeting of the Unley City Council.

APOLOGIES

Nil

ITEM 67 **CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Lapidge

That the Minutes of the Council meeting held on Monday 31 January 2011, as printed and circulated be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

PETITIONS

Nil

DEPUTATIONS

Nil

ITEM 68 **SPECIAL COUNCIL**

MOVED Councillor Sangster
SECONDED Councillor Koumi

That:

1. The minutes of the Special Council meeting held on 3 February 2011, be received.
2. The recommendations listed under Items 1 – 3 inclusive be adopted.

CARRIED

ITEM 69
SPECIAL COUNCIL

MOVED Councillor Hewitson
SECONDED Councillor Boisvert

That:

1. The minutes of the Special Council meeting held on 5 February 2011, be received.
2. The recommendations listed under Items 4 – 6 inclusive, be adopted.

CARRIED

ITEM 70
AUDIT COMMITTEE

MOVED Councillor Hewitson
SECONDED Councillor Schnell

That:

1. The minutes of the Audit Committee meeting held on 8 February 2011, be received.
2. The recommendations listed under Items 7 – 12 inclusive, be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEM 71
CITY OF UNLEY ROAD SAFETY COMMITTEE

MOVED Councillor Hewitson
SECONDED Councillor Salaman

That:

1. The minutes of the City of Unley Road Safety Committee meeting held on Wednesday 9 February 2011, be received.
2. The recommendations listed under Items 1 – 6 inclusive, be adopted.

CARRIED

ITEM 72
SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING

MOVED Councillor Boisvert
SECONDED Councillor Palmer

That:

1. The minutes of the Special Council meeting held on 16 February 2011, be received.
2. The recommendations listed under Items 7 – 9 inclusive, be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEM 73
CITY STRATEGY AND POLICY COMMITTEE

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Boisvert

That:

1. The minutes of the City Strategy and Policy Committee meeting held on 21 February 2011, be received.
2. The recommendations listed under Items 1, 2 and 4 and Items 6 – 9 inclusive, be adopted.
3. The following items be reserved:

Item 3 – Funding for Footpath Upgrade – reserved by Councillor Salaman.

Item 5 – City Wide Pocket Park Opportunities – reserved by Councillor Hudson.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**RESERVED ITEM 3 – CITY STRATEGY AND POLICY COMMITTEE –
FUNDING FOR FOOTPATH UPGRADE**

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Boisvert

That:

1. The report be received.
2. The bitumen footpaths continue to be replaced in line with the Footpath Replacement Program for the 2011/12 year which will be considered as part of the Annual Business Plan and Budget process for 2011/12.
3. An option for bringing forward the replacement of the bitumen footpath network, over a 6 to 8 year period, be considered as part of the review of the Footpath Asset Management Plan scheduled for completion by October 2011 and the subsequent review of the Long Term Financial Plan and the Strategic Asset Management Plan.
4. Options for replacement of footpaths, with alternative treatments be explored as part of the review of the Footpath Asset Management Plan.

Councillor Salaman MOVED as an AMENDMENT,

That:

1. The report be received.
2. Increased bitumen footpath replacement program by 50% for 2011/12 year be considered as part of the Annual Business Plan and Budget process for 2011/12.
3. An option for bringing forward the replacement of the bitumen footpath network, over a 6 to 8 year period, be considered as part of the review of the Footpath Asset Management Plan scheduled for completion by October 2011 and the subsequent review of the Long Term Financial Plan and the Strategic Asset Management Plan.
4. Options for replacement of footpaths, with alternative treatments be explored as part of the review of the Footpath Asset Management Plan.

The AMENDMENT of Councillor Salaman LAPSED for want of a **SECONDER**, whereupon the MOTION of Councillor Schnell was put and declared **CARRIED**

RESERVED ITEM 5 – CITY STRATEGY AND POLICY COMMITTEE - CITY WIDE POCKET PARK OPPORTUNITIES

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Lapidge

That:

1. The report be received.

Extension of Debating Time

MOVED Councillor Koumi SECONDED Councillor Tipper that Councillor Hudson be given an extension of debating time.

CARRIED

Councillor Hudson MOVED as an AMENDMENT, SECONDED Councillor Sangster

That

1. The report be received.
2. This matter be adjourned for additional information to be provided to allow Members to assess the suitability of the new list of pocket parks.

The AMENDMENT on being put was **CARRIED**.

The AMENDMENT then became part of the **MOTION** which was put and **CARRIED**.

Councillor Saies entered the Chambers at 7.49pm during debate on the above Item.

ITEM 74

UNLEY BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

MOVED Councillor Salaman
SECONDED Councillor Lapidge

That:

1. The minutes of the Unley Business and Economic Development Committee meeting held on 22 February 2011, be received.
2. The recommendations listed under Items 1 – 4 inclusive, be adopted.

CARRIED

ITEM 75

MAYOR'S REPORT

MOVED Councillor Sangster
SECONDED Councillor Lapidge

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEM 76

REPORTS OF MEMBERS

a) **Items of particular interest, concern or urgency**

Councillor Palmer – Special DAP – Consultation for DPA3.

Councillor Hewitson – Concern re noise levels – Showgrounds and Cremorne Hotel.

Councillor Hudson – Friends of the Unley Museum not disbanding. Congratulations to Councillor Schnell looking at solving Leah Street and East Avenue problems.

Councillor Sangster – Noise issues hotels.

Councillor Schnell – Goodwood Primary School initiative “Goodie patch”.

Councillor Schnell – “Unley Thinking” – professional response.

Councillor Schnell - Councillor Hudson's IT skills.

b) **Centennial Park Cemetery Authority**

Councillor Hudson spoke about the tour of Centennial Park Cemetery Authority last week.

Councillor Hudson and Lapidge attending the Board meeting and minutes are available for Elected Members.

c) **Development Matters**

Councillor Boisvert – concerns regarding school gymnasiums – conditions for use.

ITEM 77 *

ADJOURNED ITEM 49 – COUNCIL – JANUARY 2011 – RECYCLED WATER PIPELINE INFRASTRUCTURE

Extension of Debating Time

MOVED Councillor Sangster SECONDED Councillor Tipper that Councillor Hudson be given an extension of debating time.

CARRIED

Extension of Debating Time

MOVED Councillor Hudson SECONDED Councillor Sangster that Councillor Tipper be given an extension of debating time.

CARRIED

MOVED Councillor Hewitson
SECONDED Councillor Boisvert

That:

1. The report be received.
2. An additional \$24 000 be allocated to meet the total anticipated cost for the construction of the Recycled Water Pipeline – Stage 1 to Council's parks and reserves excluding Henry Codd Reserve and Windsor Street Corridor.

CARRIED

A division was called and the previous decision set aside.

DIVISION

Those voting in the affirmative:

Councillors Koumi, Sangster, Saies, Boisvert, Palmer, Lapidge, Hughes, Tipper, Schnell, Salaman and Hewitson.

Those voting in the negative:

Councillor Hudson.

The MOTION was then declared **CARRIED**

Councillor Palmer left the meeting at 8.15pm returning at 8.16pm during debate on the above Item.

Councillor Boisvert left the meeting at 8.43pm after debate on the above Item.

ITEM 78

GLEN OSMOND CREEK REDEVELOPMENT

MOVED Councillor Salaman
SECONDED Councillor Sangster

That:

1. The report be received.
2. The upgrade of the Unley Road culvert not be undertaken by Council.
3. Negotiations continue with Department of Transport Energy and Infrastructure to secure a future commitment by them to upgrade the Unley Road culvert.
4. Negotiations continue with Department of Transport Energy and Infrastructure to consider a 'two way street' design option for Culvert Street.
5. Negotiations continue with Federal Department of Infrastructure, Transport Regional Development and Local Government for further additional funding for this project and to exclude the Unley Road Culvert from the current funding agreement for the project.
6. A funding application be pursued with the Department of Planning and Local Government, Open Space and Places for People, Open Space Development Fund.

Councillor Saies MOVED as an AMENDMENT SECONDED Councillor Boisvert

That:

1. The report be received.
2. The upgrade of the Unley Road culvert not be undertaken by Council.
3. Council submit to the Department of Transport Energy and Infrastructure that DTEI should make the commitment to upgrading the Unley Road culvert.
4. Negotiations continue with Federal Department of Infrastructure, Transport Regional Development and Local Government for further additional funding for this project and to exclude the Unley Road Culvert from the current funding agreement for the project.
5. A funding application be pursued with the Department of Planning and Local Government, Open Space and Places for People, Open Space Development Fund.

The AMENDMENT on being put was **LOST**

The MOTION was then put and **CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

Councillor Boisvert returned to the meeting at 8.45pm during debate on the above Item.

ITEM 79
COUNCILLORS' ROBES

MOVED Councillor Boisvert

That:

1. The report be received.
2. The Oxford style black robe in poly/rayon be endorsed as the new Councillor robe.
3. Consideration be given to the purchase of new Councillor robes in the 2011/2012 Budget.

The Motion lapsed for want of a SECONDER.

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Hewitson

That:

1. The report be received.
2. The Oxford style double blue robe in poly/rayon be endorsed as the new Councillor robe.
3. Consideration be given to the purchase of new Councillor robes in the 2011/2012 Budget.

MOVED Councillor Palmer SECONDED Councillor Lapidge that the MOTION be put.

CARRIED

The MOTION was put and **LOST**

A division was called and the previous decision set aside.

DIVISION

Those voting in the affirmative:

Councillors Schnell, Tipper and Hewitson.

Those voting in the negative:

Councillors Hudson, Koumi, Sangster, Saies, Boisvert, Palmer, Lapidge, Hughes and Salaman.

The MOTION was then declared **LOST**

ITEM 80
COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVES TO THE LGA AND LGFA GENERAL MEETINGS

MOVED Councillor Sangster
SECONDED Councillor Hudson

That:

1. The report be received.
2. His Worship the Mayor, Lachlan Clyne be nominated as the Council Representative to the LGA and LGFA General Meetings.
3. Councillor Lapidge be nominated as the proxy delegate of Council to the LGA and LGFA General Meetings.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEM 81
CONFLICTING DUTIES ISSUES PAPER – LGA CIRCULAR 4.2

MOVED Councillor Hudson
SECONDED Councillor Schnell

That:

1. The report be received.
2. Option 2 as detailed within the LGA's Conflicting Duties Issues Paper (Attachment 1 to report 81/11) be endorsed as the preferred option for further consideration by the LGA.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor Salaman left the meeting at 9.44pm after debate on the above Item.

ITEM 82

CENTENNIAL PARK AUDIT COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

MOVED Councillor Lapidge
SECONDED Councillor Hudson

That:

1. The report be received.
2. The CPCA Board of Management's nomination of Mr Pierra Della Porta as an independent member of the Audit and Risk Committee be endorsed for a term of two years, effective as of February 2011.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor Salaman returned to the meeting at 9.45pm during debate on the above Item.

ITEM 83

END OF MONTH FINANCIAL REPORT

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Palmer

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED

Councillor Lapidge left the meeting at 9.46pm after debate on the above Item.

ITEM 84

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR RJ SCHNELL RE “UNLEY THINKING”

The following Questions on Notice have been received from Councillor Schnell and the answers are provided:

Questions

Whilst perusing the Unley Organisational Structure document (issued as part of the Elected Member Induction) I noticed that there was listed a strategic project called ‘Unley Thinking – (Innovations Project)’.

I don't recall previous mention of this project.

Provide an outline of the process and desired outcome of this project.

Is there proposed that there be some form of ‘Thinker in Residence’ at the City of Unley?

Answer:

Unley Thinking is an initiative to create an environment where innovative ideas are explored. The overarching vision used to promote this initiative has been:

"We explore, sense, and analyse"

This initiative has been rolled out across the organisation over the past 12 months to promote a cultural change in its approach to difficult issues and creative thinking. With ongoing input from internal and external stakeholders, **Unley Thinking** is an organic process with opportunities for the Council and its diverse community in all aspects of its social, environmental, economic and cultural functions.

Innovation was identified as a key priority of the Chief Executive Officer, Ray Pincombe's Performance Development Review in 2009/10.

While an internal team have provided the leadership for this initiative, a dialogue has been expanded both within and without the organisation to allow the model to be re-visited, refined, and re-articulated to keep an open and organic process of idea generation. The model has been based on various researched philosophies and methodologies. The dialogue has been expanded to include senior management firstly, followed by managers and then all staff through creative consultative workshops in order to collate ideas around key questions such as:

- What are the topics of interest for you at the moment that you have heard of that you like?
- What do we want to say is Innovation in Unley?
- What could this look like?
- What are the conditions that make innovation successful?

- Creating the right environment - What do we need to do?
- How can we tap into the ideas of people in our organisation and outside? (i.e. our partners and our own potential)
- How do we roll out cultural change?

This approach has attempted to engender an open environment for all to contribute to a cultural change within the organisation by adopting a different approach to its core functions by asking the question: "How could we do things differently and how can we build more flexibility into our thinking, our planning, our approach, our delivery and our ongoing evaluation".

The outcomes of the research, approach, discussion and refinement of **Unley Thinking** over the past 12 months has been an initial model which has outlined our vision, our journey, our shared behaviours and a short term action plan.

The vision is that:

- we explore, sense and analyse.

The journey has been set to:

- explore complex issues in a creative, different and thoughtful manner, in order to find new ways forward, which probe the unknown, embrace risk taking and experimentation in a fail safe environment
- work with Our People and Our Partners
- use our "Shared Behaviours"
- engage our people and our partners in achieving our agreed and emergent Key Actions
- embrace a long term journey towards cultural change with ongoing reflection on our progress

Our 'Shared Behaviours' will be:

- Showing leadership
- Learning, reflecting, growing
- Engaging, listening, asking
- Creating the right environment
- Doing things differently

The key actions in the next 6-12 months have been identified as:

- lunch time external speakers – to develop a critical thinking approach and provide wider professional staff development
- linking recent organisational development to the Shared Behaviours and philosophy of **Unley Thinking**
- Boardroom Lunches by Senior Management with targeted sectors of our community including social and business innovators, politicians and the State based Thinkers in Residence
- establishing an in house thinkers in residence on targeted topics through in house recruitment, external consultants or academic institutions
- a wider expansion of our bank of ideas for innovative thinking and practice to include Elected Members and community consultation
- review and selection of ideas from the bank of ideas for future action.

These key actions will increase as time goes on as the **Unley Thinking** model has the flexibility and capacity to consider a wide range of current, unexpected, expectant and future issues.

Unley Thinking has been significantly enhanced by the assistance we have received in consultation with other leaders in innovation in South Australia. This has heavily informed the development of the project. Although in its early days, positive feedback has been received by The Centre for Social Innovation, the Thinkers in Residence scheme established by the South Australian Government, participants in the Innovation section of the South Australian Strategic Plan consultation and the Department for Premier and Cabinet. The project has been embraced by staff who have commented on its capacity for inclusiveness of ideas from staff in all levels of the organisation.

Unley Thinking is courageously an ongoing moving feast and is an agent for change in Local Government thinking. It has the capacity to allow the City of Unley to be a leader, a partner, a supporter, a receptor, an explorer and a risk taker in both its local and global community.

In summary, **Unley Thinking** has been an organisation wide initiative led by the CEO which will continuously challenge its thinking in the areas of complex problem solving and will leave itself flexibility to be receptive to new ideas which will enhance its capacity to deliver innovative services to meet the ever changing needs of the community.

Councillor Lapidge returned to the meeting at 9.47pm during the above Item.

ITEM 85

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR MF HUDSON RE DISRUPTION TO VISITORS TO THE CIVIC CENTRE CAUSED BY THE ILLEGAL PARKING OF THOSE ATTENDING FUNCTIONS AT ST SPYRIDON'S CHURCH

The following Questions on Notice have been received from Councillor Hudson and the answers are provided:

Preamble

At the January Council meeting I drew attention to the continuing major disruption to visitors to the Civic Centre caused by the illegal parking of those attending functions at St Spyridon's Church.

I was told that "letters have been exchanged".

In light of this, and my interminable (and unsuccessful) efforts to resolve this situation, I ask the following questions on notice.

Questions

1. Will Elected Members be supplied (on request) with copies of the aforementioned letters?

Answer: Yes

2. What action is proposed to resolve the situation? i.e. Has the church abided by it's promise to advise Council of potentially large attendances, or its promise to assist in advising attendees of the parking restrictions which apply in the car park?

Answer: The church has indicated it will advise Council of potentially large attendances; it is however too soon to see how effective this arrangement will be.

3. How many expiation notices were issued at the most recent funeral, on a Monday in late January?

Answer: 5

4. What response, if any, was forthcoming from the recipients of those expiation notices?

Answer: 1 attendee was advised that the expiation would not be waived and this was accepted

5. What additional measures will Administration take to ensure that attendees at St Spyridon's are made fully aware of the parking limitations in Council's car park?

Answer: If we are aware of a large funeral, we will have an inspector at the car park entrance.

6. Why, if it is a question of non-availability of Council parking inspectors, does Administration continue to use our resources to monitor parking infringements in the Coles/Woolworths car parks?

Answer: There is no question of non-availability of parking officers.

7. How many hours a week is a Council parking inspector occupied for this service?

Answer: 3 hours a week.

8. What financial arrangements are in place between the two stores and Council Administration to provide this service?

Answer: None as the Council receives all expiation fees.

9. Who receives the proceeds from infringements in these two car parks?

Answer: Unley Council.

ITEM 86

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR DG PALMER RE MANAGEMENT PROCEDURES FOR MAINTAINING BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE

The following Questions on Notice have been received from Councillor Palmer and the answers are provided:

Questions

1. What arrangements do we have for maintaining building infrastructure that have been purpose built for local community clubs, such as Fairmont Tennis Club at Page Memorial Park?

Answer:

The recently signed lease agreement spells out the responsibilities of both Lessee and Unley Council . eg the Lessee is responsible for dripping taps, replacing light bulbs, removal of graffiti and interior painting. Major infrastructure issues would be the responsibility of the Council.

2. What management procedures do we have in place to ensure essential maintenance is carried out, whether by Council or by the lessee, and if the lessee what management procedures do we have in place to ensure the lessee has the capability to carry out maintenance, particularly high level/access maintenance.

Answer:

The Lessee is responsible for the day to day running of the facility and they are to maintain the building in good order as per the lease. We would rely on them to inform Council of any significant building maintenance issue that they discover.

We do undertake building inspections and the buildings with the highest usage would undergo the most inspections over a 12 month period.

We also have an annual asbestos inspection and an external painting programme for each building.

Most high level/difficult access maintenance issues would be the responsibility of Council.

ITEM 87

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR RJ SCHNELL RE SAPOL SPEED DETECTION PERSONNEL

The following Questions on Notice have been received from Councillor Schnell and answers will be provided to the March Council meeting.

Questions

1. What are the statistics over the past 12 months of SAPOL activity across Unley in deploying speed detection personnel? Are there key locations that have been targeted by SAPOL? Where?
2. What are the statistics over the past 12 months of SAPOL activity in Precincts J & G in monitoring infringements of the No Left/Right Turns during the trial of traffic restrictions? How many fines were issued? If there were no fines issued, then why not?

ITEM 88

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR DG PALMER RE IMPROVED COMMUNICATIONS WITH RESIDENTS ABOUT COUNCIL'S FOOTPATH AND KERB CLEANING SCHEDULE

The following Question on Notice have been received from Councillor Palmer and the answer is provided:

Questions

1. Can administration investigate ways, using technology, to facilitate and/or improve communication to residents of upcoming kerb and footpath maintenance; to provide selected residents (who may be willing to volunteer to act on behalf of their street) with say 48 hours' notice that their street is about to be cleaned, thereby improving the chances of cars not being in the way of the kerb cleaner and improving the chances of residents willing to manually sweep footpaths inaccessible to the footpath sweeper when a kerb clean is due?

Answer:

Yes, the Administration can investigate as part of its Service Review.

ITEM 89

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR MF HUDSON RE POCKET PARKS

The following Questions on Notice have been received from Councillor Hudson and the answers are provided:

Questions

1. In the pocket park location documents available to Members, it states that Foster Street both East and West of Kenilworth Road is listed fifth in the priority table for pocket park treatment. Is this the case, or an error?

Answer:

The document should have read Foster Street, west of Kenilworth Road.

Foster Street east of Kenilworth Road (road closure extension opportunity) was identified as a potential pocket park location in the Sustainable Landscape Strategy (Item 178, Nov 2008).

2. If it is correct, is the Administration aware that a pocket park treatment of Foster Street West of Kenilworth Road would have a major adverse impact on traffic flow throughout the whole precinct between Duthy Street and Fullarton Road.

Answer:

All potential closures of roads as part of the City Wide Pocket Park Opportunities Report (CSP – Item 5 – Feb 2011) would have an impact on traffic flows. This site was selected in line with the endorsed City Strategy and Policy resolution of May 2010 (Item 312) which in part stated:

‘This forward program was intended to create an opportunity for pocket parks to be created where a new road closure would be required to be created.’

3. Is the Administration also aware that I drew their attention a year or so ago to the fact that the area of Foster Street to the East of Kenilworth Road was proposed as a high priority pocket park? And that I therefore suggested that resurfacing etc of that part of roadway should not proceed?

Answer:

Staff undertaking the audit of possible pocket park opportunities were not aware that attention had been drawn by the Councillor.

However, Foster Street road closure east of Kenilworth Road was identified as priority 23 from a possible 53 in the Sustainable Landscape

Strategy (Item 178, 2008) and as such was seen at that time as a mid-range priority and not high.

The location of the pocket park referred to in the opportunities report is west of Kenilworth Road. With this in mind the Administration chose to proceed with the resurfacing of Foster Street (east of Kenilworth Road).

4. When was the resurfacing of Foster Street to the East of Kenilworth Road, completed, and at what cost?

Answer:

The road reseal of Foster Street east of Kenilworth Road was completed in January 2011. Final invoices for the works are yet to be received, however, the budget for the works is \$48,780. It is anticipated that the final cost of the programmed works will be close to this budget figure.

However, as stated to a previous question, this site/section of road is not the one identified as priority 5 for a potential pocket park in the February City Strategy and Policy Report (Item 5).

5. Will the Administration ensure that all departments involved in road works liaise to ensure that similar duplication/clashing of work does not occur in the future?

Answer:

The Administration currently reviews asset works/programs and identifies opportunities for coordination of works.

Councillor Hudson left the meeting at 9.52pm returning at 9.53pm.

ITEM 90

NOTICE OF MOTION FROM COUNCILLOR RJ SCHNELL RE FOOTPATH REPLACEMENT PROGRAM IN LEAH STREET, FORESTVILLE

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Tipper

That:

1. The replacement program for the road and both sides of the footpath in Leah Street Forestville, be considered in the 2011/12 budget.
2. The work is recommended to commence early in the financial year.

CARRIED

Councillor Hughes left the meeting at 9.58pm returning at 10.01pm during debate on the above Item.

ITEM 91

NOTICE OF MOTION FROM COUNCILLOR RJ SCHNELL RE TRAFFIC INFRINGEMENTS

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Tipper

That:

1. Council liaise with SAPOL and request that police officers be deployed in Precincts J & G to monitor traffic infringements of the No Left/Right Turns restrictions on an ongoing and frequent basis.
2. SAPOL be encouraged to issue infringements to all offenders.

Councillor Salaman MOVED as an AMENDMENT SECONDED Councillor Palmer, that:

1. Council liaise with SAPOL and request that police officers be deployed in Precincts J & G to monitor traffic infringements of the No Left/Right Turns restrictions on an ongoing and frequent basis.

The AMENDMENT on being put was **CARRIED**.

The AMENDMENT then became part of the **MOTION** which was put and **CARRIED**.

A division was called and the previous decision set aside.

DIVISION

Those voting in the affirmative:

Councillors Koumi, Boisvert, Palmer, Lapidge, Hughes, Schnell, Tipper, Salaman and Hewitson.

Those voting in the negative:

Councillors Hudson, Saies and Boisvert.

The MOTION was then declared **CARRIED**

ITEM 92

NOTICE OF MOTION FROM COUNCILLOR RJ SCHNELL RE SPEED DETECTION REQUEST TO SAPOL

MOVED Councillor Schnell

SECONDED Councillor Tipper

That:

1. Council liaise with SAPOL and request that speed detection personnel be deployed across Unley on an ongoing and frequent basis.
2. SAPOL be requested to deploy speed detection personnel to East Avenue, Leah Street and Leader Street (Forestville) as a priority.

Councillor Hewitson MOVED as an AMENDMENT SECONDED Councillor Lapidge, that:

This motion be referred to the City of Unley Road Safety Committee.

The AMENDMENT on being put was **CARRIED**.

The AMENDMENT then became part of the **MOTION** which was put and **CARRIED**.

ITEM 93

NOTICE OF MOTION FROM COUNCILLOR SCHNELL RE SPEED CONTROL MEASURES ON EAST AVENUE AND LEAH STREET FORESTVILLE

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Sangster

That:

1. Council explore options for speed control measures on East Avenue and Leah Street Forestville.
2. Council liaise with the SA Government Department for Transport, Energy and Infrastructure (DTEI) and seek comment about the options.
3. A report be presented to Council in April 2011.

Councillor Hewitson MOVED as an AMENDMENT SECONDED Councillor Lapidge, that:

This matter be referred to the City of Unley Road Safety Committee.

The AMENDMENT on being put was **CARRIED**.

The AMENDMENT then became part of the **MOTION** which was put and **CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**.

ITEM 94

NOTICE OF MOTION FROM COUNCILLOR RJ SCHNELL RE 40KPH SPEED LIMIT ON WINSTON AVENUE

Extension of Debating Time

MOVED Councillor Tipper SECONDED Councillor Sangster that Councillor Schnell be given an extension of debating time.

CARRIED

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Tipper

1. Council liaise with the City of Mitcham and seek support for a 40kph speed limit on Winston Avenue (from Daws Road to Cross Road).

2. Council encourages the City of Mitcham to seek approval for and to implement the 40kph limit to deter the rat runners who speed through the Cities of Mitcham and Unley.
3. Council liaise with the SA Government Department for Transport, Energy and Infrastructure (DTEI) and request that all efforts are made to increase the peak period traffic volume on South Road (to reduce the unacceptable high volumes on Goodwood Road and Leah Street).

LOST

A division was called and the previous decision set aside.

DIVISION

Those voting in the affirmative:

Councillors Hudson, Schnell and Tipper.

Those voting in the negative:

Councillors Koumi, Sangster, Saies, Boisvert, Palmer, Lapidge, Hughes, Salaman and Hewitson.

The MOTION was then declared **LOST**

EXTENSION OF MEETING TIME

MOVED Councillor Hudson
SECONDED Councillor Palmer

That the meeting be extended beyond 11.00 pm.

CARRIED

SUSPENSION OF MEETING PROCEDURES

The Presiding Member advised the meeting that he thought the meeting would benefit from a short term suspension of the meeting procedures, for 10 minutes, to allow Members an opportunity to have something to eat and drink. This was supported with a two thirds majority.

The meeting procedures were suspended at 11.00pm.

The meeting procedures were reinstated at 11.10pm.

ITEM 95

NOTICE OF MOTION FROM COUNCILLOR DG PALMER RE 2011 EARTH HOUR

MOVED Councillor Palmer
SECONDED Councillor Boisvert

That Unley supports the world-wide Earth Hour 2011 and promotes lights out in the City of Unley from 8.30pm to 9.30pm on 26 March 2011.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ITEM 96

NOTICE OF MOTION FROM COUNCILLOR CR SANGSTER RE ORPHANAGE PARK

MOVED Councillor Sangster
SECONDED Councillor Hewitson

That the Administration provide a report to the Council outlining the options and issues relating to the proposed northern creek works and the southern creek layback at Orphanage Park.

CARRIED

Councillor Hudson left the meeting at 11.53pm returning at 11.54pm.

**MOTION WITHOUT NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR SALAMAN RE:
ITEM 62 OF THE COUNCIL MEETING ON 31 JANUARY 2011 FROM
COUNCILLOR LAPIDGE RE QUEENSLAND FLOOD VICTIMS**

MOVED Councillor Salaman
SECONDED Councillor Hughes

That:

Item 62, of the Council meeting on the 31 January 2011, no longer lie on the table and that debate be resumed.

“That the City of Unley donate \$10 000 to the Queensland Premier’s Disaster Relief Appeal, which is a trust fund established to assist those who have suffered significant losses due to the natural disaster of the Queensland floods.”

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor Salaman MOVED as an AMENDMENT, SECONDED Councillor Boisvert that:

The City of Unley donate \$5 000 to the Queensland Premier Disaster Relief Appeal and that \$5 000 be donated to the Australian Red Cross New Zealand Earthquake 2011 Appeal.

Both funds have been established to assist those who have suffered significant losses due to the natural disasters by providing emergency relief and recovery assistance.

The AMENDMENT on being put was CARRIED.

The AMENDMENT then became part of the MOTION.

Councillor Schnell MOVED as a FURTHER AMENDMENT, SECONDED Councillor Hewitson, that:

The City of Unley donate \$10 000 to the Australian Red Cross New Zealand Earthquake 2011 Appeal.

This fund has been established to assist those who have suffered significant losses due to the Christchurch earthquake by providing emergency relief and recovery assistance.

The FURTHER AMENDMENT on being put was **LOST**

The AMENDED MOTION was then put and **CARRIED**

ITEM 97
UNRESOLVED ITEMS

Meeting Date	Item	Status
Council 27/10/08	Item 363 Notice of Motion from Councillor Hewitson re Sturt Football Club.	The question remain laid on the table.
Council 22/3/10	Item 622 (UBED Item 46 Adjourned Debate – Item 46 – Unley Business and Economic Development Committee – Glen Osmond Road Separate Rate Negotiation.	The Item lay on the table.

Councillor Boisvert left the Chambers at 12am declaring a conflict of interest in Item 99.

ACTING PRESIDING MEMBER

MOVED Councillor Salaman
SECONDED Councillor Koumi

That Councillor Lapidge be appointed as Acting Presiding Member for the duration of the Council Meeting.

CARRIED

Mayor Clyne (Presiding Member) left the Chamber at 12.01am declaring a conflict of interest in Item 99.

ITEM 98

CONFIDENTIALITY MOTION FOR ITEM 99 – ELECTED MEMBER CODE OF CONDUCT – LOCAL GOVERNMENT GOVERNANCE PANEL REPORT

MOVED Councillor Schnell

SECONDED Councillor Hudson

That:

1. Pursuant to Section 90(2) and (3)(a) of the Local Government Act 1999 the Council orders the public be excluded, with the exception of the:

Mr R Pincombe, Chief Executive Officer,
Ms C Umapathysivam, Deputy Chief Executive Officer,

on the basis that it will receive and consider the report on the Elected Members Code of Conduct Local Government Governance Panel Report and that the Council is satisfied that the meeting should be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in relation to this matter because:

- a. the debate will include personal particulars of the individuals involved.

CARRIED

The doors to the Council Chamber were closed at 12.02am.

CONFIDENTIAL

ITEM 99

ELECTED MEMBER CODE OF CONDUCT – LOCAL GOVERNMENT GOVERNANCE PANEL REPORT

ITEM 100

REMAIN IN CONFIDENCE – ITEM 99 ELECTED MEMBER CODE OF CONDUCT – LOCAL GOVERNMENT GOVERNANCE PANEL REPORT

MOVED Councillor Schnell
SECONDED Councillor Hewitson

That:

1. The report be received.
2. Pursuant to Section 91(7) and (9) of the Local Government Act:

2.1 The

- ☒ Report
- ☒ Attachments
- ☒ Minutes

to remain confidential on the basis that the disclosure of the information would be an unreasonable disclosure of the personal affairs, and it is in the public interest to not disclose this matter, pursuant to Section 90(3)(a) of the Act.

- 2.2 The report will be kept confidential until the order is revoked by the Chief Executive Officer.

CARRIED

The doors to the Council Chamber were opened at 1.40am.

CLOSURE

The Presiding Member closed the meeting at 1.40am.

.....
PRESIDING MEMBER

.....